The Control of Prostate-Specific Antigen Expression and Gene Regulation by Pharmacological Agents

SHANNON C. DIXON, KEVIN B. KNOPF, AND WILLIAM D. FIGG¹

Medicine Branch, Division of Clinical Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

This paper is available online at http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org by guest on June 15, 2012 by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

aspet

*Abstract***——Prostate-specific antigen is a serine protease that is a member of the kallikrein family. It is widely used as an indicator of tumor burden and as a surrogate marker for disease progression in men with androgen-independent prostate cancer. It has been shown that the expression and/or secretion of this glycoprotein can be regulated by pharmacological agents. The effects of these agents on PSA may be independent of**

¹ Address for correspondence: Dr. William D. Figg, Medicine Branch, DCS, NCI, National Institutes of Health, 10 Center Drive, Building 10, Room 5A01, Bethesda, MD 20892. E-mail: wdfigg@helix.nih.gov

their effects on cell growth. For example, a pharmacological agent may down-regulate PSA expression/secretion but have no effect on tumor cell growth. In this case, a patient receiving this therapeutic agent might be falsely considered as having a clinical response. Alternatively, an agent might up-regulate PSA expression/secretion and have an inhibitory effect on cell growth. A patient receiving this therapeutic agent might be diagnosed with progressive disease unless an alternative method for assessing tumor burden is used. Thus, when an agent is to be evaluated in a clinical trial utilizing PSA as a marker for disease

73

HARMACOLOGICAL REVIEWS

I. Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer death of men in the United States. In 1999, it is estimated that 179,300 new cases were diagnosed and 37,000 deaths occurred from this disease (Landis et al., 1999). The cornerstone for treatment of metastatic prostate cancer is androgen ablation, which is typically achieved through either medical or surgical castration (Huggins and Hodges, 1941). This therapeutic maneuver leads to a favorable response and disease regression in greater than 80% of the patients. However, within 12 to 18 months, the majority of men with metastatic disease will develop androgen-independent growth, progressive disease, and will ultimately succumb to their disease (The Veterans Administration Co-operative Urological Research Group, 1967; Crawford et al., 1989; The Canadian Anandron Study Group, 1990; Denis et al., 1993; Janknegt et al., 1993; Bertagna et al., 1994). The treatment of androgen-independent prostate cancer (AIPC²) is problematic and, for the most part, has been predominantly palliative. Conventional cytotoxic regimens have provided little or no benefit in prostate cancer, yielding response rates between 10 and 20% (Eisenberger et al., 1985, 1987a.b; Eisenberger and Abrams, 1988; Panvichian and Pienta, 1996; Colleoni et al., 1997). Thus, the pursuit of new treatment options and pharmacological agents that are effective against AIPC is an area of active research.

Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) is a 33-kDa glycoprotein and a member of the kallikrein family of serine proteases (Clements, 1989). It is secreted by normal, hyperplastic, and cancerous prostatic epithelia. One of its roles is to degrade high molecular weight seminal vesicle proteins that otherwise would form seminal coagulates (Allhoff et al., 1983; Lilja, 1985; Leo et al., 1991). Alternatively, it appears to be involved in prostate growth regulation by cleaving insulin-like growth **eral agents that have been tested for their regulatory effects on PSA and to discuss potential mechanisms of by which this regulation may occur. The implications of these findings in the evaluation of new agents in androgen-independent prostate cancer will be considered.**

factor-binding proteins and thereby increasing the bioavailability of insulin-like growth factors (Doherty et al., 1999; Sutkowski et al., 1999). Elevated levels of PSA occur in patient sera in cases of prostate cancer, benign prostatic hyperplasia, and prostatitis (Gittes, 1991). PSA is a sensitive indicator of tumor burden (Chybowski et al., 1991). It is regarded as a reliable surrogate marker for survival and disease progression for patients with AIPC (Ferro et al., 1989; Kelly et al., 1993; Thibault et al., 1993; Fossa and Pause, 1994; Sridhara et al., 1995; Bubley et al., 1999). In 1989, clinical trials began utilizing PSA as an indicator of tumor burden and most trials continue to monitor PSA (Ferro et al., 1989). Figg et al. (1996) found that approximately 90% of patients with advanced metastatic prostate cancer have elevated PSA. This same group reported a median survival of 19.0 months versus 6.3 months for patients that experienced a 50% PSA decline versus those that did not (Thibault et al., 1993). Kelly and colleagues (1983) found a median survival of greater than 25 months in those patients that exhibited a greater than 50% decrease in PSA following an investigational regimen versus 8.6 months in those patients that did not achieve that level. From these data, PSA has been validated as an important diagnostic marker for prostate carcinoma and as a highly useful surrogate marker for patients with prostate cancer.

The growing body of literature has raised the concern that some investigational agents may affect PSA expression or secretion independently from alterations in tumor growth or volume. The purpose of this review is to describe several agents that have been tested for their ability to regulate PSA and to discuss potential mechanisms by which this regulation may occur. The effects of androgens and antiandrogens on the regulation of PSA are discussed elsewhere and will not be addressed (Gleave et al., 1986; Goldfarb et al., 1986; Young et al., 1991; Henttu et al., 1992; Lee et al., 1994; Luke and Coffey, 1994; Dai et al., 1996). The implications of these findings in the evaluation of new agents in AIPC will be considered.

II. Model Systems for Studying Prostate-Specific Antigen Regulation

There are a limited number of cell lines and model systems available for the study of this disease. One of

PHARMACOLOGICAL REVIEWS

² Abbreviations: AIPC, androgen-independent prostate cancer; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; AR, androgen receptor; SRBC, steroid receptor binding consensus sequence; GM-CSF, granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL-1, interleukin-1; PA, phenylacetate; PB, phenylbutyrate; DHT, dihydrotestosterone; $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, $1\alpha,25$ -dihydroxyvitamin D_3 ; FBS, fetal bovine serum; CSS, charcoal-stripped serum; $PPAR_{\gamma}$, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ ; CAI, carboxyamido-triazole; LHRHa, luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone analog; EGF, epidermal growth factor; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; 4-HPR, *N*-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide; RA, retinoic acid; RARa, retinoic acid receptor α ; RXR α , retinoic X receptor α ; hKLK2, human kallikrein 2.

the most commonly used models is the human prostatic epithelial cell line LNCaP. It was originally derived from a lymph node metastasis of prostate carcinoma and is androgen-sensitive and secretes PSA (Horoszewicz et al., 1983). In addition to expressing PSA, LNCaP cells also express a functional, albeit mutant, androgen receptor (AR). The LNCaP AR has a point mutation in the steroid binding domain at codon 877. As a consequence, progesterone and estrogen as well as anti-androgens such as hydroxyflutamide can activate this receptor (Veldscholte et al., 1990a,b; Klocker et al., 1994; Figg et al., 1995). Two other human prostate cell lines that are widely used are PC-3 and DU145, derived from a bone and a brain metastasis of prostate carcinoma, respectively (Stone et al., 1978; Kaighn et al., 1979). Both cell lines are androgen-independent, do not secrete PSA, nor express AR.

Although cell lines are good model systems for the in vitro evaluation of pharmacological agents, a major disadvantage is that they may not accurately reflect the clinical situation. For this reason, many researchers have relied on animal xenografts to provide a more realistic view of the activity of therapeutic agents. All of the above-mentioned tumor cell lines are tumorigenic in athymic nude mice. In addition, the LuCaP 23 tumor lines are often used in in vivo evaluation of potential therapeutic agents. These tumor lines were established from the metastases of a patient with AIPC. They secrete PSA, are androgen sensitive, and produce a functional AR (Ellis et al., 1996). Several other model systems are available for the study of prostate cancer and have been extensively reviewed by Navone et al. (1999). However, most are not suitable for the study of PSA expression and regulation.

III. Overview of Prostate-Specific Antigen Gene Regulation by the Androgen Receptor

The AR is responsible for the transactivation of PSA by binding to a steroid receptor-binding consensus sequence (SRBC) in the promoter region of this gene. Binding of the AR to the SRBC leads to up-regulation of the transcriptional activity of the PSA gene (Luke and Coffey, 1994; Cleutjens et al., 1996). It has been shown that expression of AR parallels the expression of PSA mRNA (Young et al., 1991; Goldfarb et al., 1986; Gleave et al., 1992), PSA glycoprotein during development (Goldfarb et al., 1986), as well as the growth of LNCaP tumors in nude mice (Gleave et al., 1992). Although the AR appears to be the major influence on the transcriptional transactivation of PSA, PSA gene expression has also been shown to be regulated by various growth factors and the extracellular matrix (Guo et al., 1994; Sica et al., 1999).

IV. Agents That Up-Regulate Prostate-Specific Antigen

A. Thalidomide

Thalidomide (*N*-phthalidoglutarimide) was originally marketed as a sedative and as an antiemetic in the 1950s. Although thalidomide showed no toxicity in rodents, it was discovered to be a potent teratogen in humans and was withdrawn from the market (McBride, 1961; Lenz, 1962). However, thalidomide remains a useful pharmacological agent and has proven therapeutic value for a variety of human pathologies (Kluken and Wente, 1974; Vincente et al., 1993; Sharpstone et al., 1995). It is currently being tested as an antiangiogenic agent, and a phase II clinical trial for patients with AIPC has been completed recently (D'Amato et al., 1994; W. D. Figg, W. Dahut, P. Duray, M. Hamilton, A. Tompkins, S. Steinberg, E. Jones, A. Premkumar, M. Linehan, M. K. Floeter, et al., submitted for publication). Dixon et al. (1999) exposed the LNCaP and PC-3 cell lines to clinically achievable concentrations of thalidomide. The number of viable cells and the amount of PSA secreted into the supernatant (LNCaP only) were measured daily. After 120 h of treatment, both cell lines showed an approximate 20% decrease in cell number compared with controls. On the contrary, the amount of PSA secreted by LNCaP was increased at a statistically significant level. Thus, thalidomide can alter PSA secretion. The effect of thalidomide on the transcription or translation of PSA was not tested; however, preliminary data from cDNA expression arrays suggested that it could modulate the expression of several genes at a transcriptional or post-transcriptional level. Whether thalidomide modulates PSA gene expression could not be ascertained from the cDNA expression arrays used since this cDNA was not present on the arrays.

Thalidomide is a very unstable compound that hydrolyzes readily at neutral pH (Huupponen and Pyykko, 1995; Simmons et al., 1997). In the experiments described above, thalidomide underwent no metabolic breakdown as would occur in patients. Bauer et al. (1998) have shown that metabolic activation of thalidomide is species-dependent and that the metabolites generated in rodent systems are different from those generated in humans. Unfortunately, most of the currently available prostate cancer models are in rodents, making it impossible to test the activity of thalidomide in human tumor xenografts.

B. TNP-470

TNP-470 and its metabolite, AGM-1883, are synthetic agents that were identified as more potent and less toxic inhibitors of angiogenesis and tumor growth than fumagillin (Ingber et al., 1990). Treatment of LNCaP cells in vitro for 5 days showed a moderate reduction in cell proliferation by both TNP-470 and AGM-1883 (Horti et al., 1999). Accompanying inhibition of cell proliferation, by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

 \vec{c} 2012

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org by guest on June

TNP-470 caused a 10 to 50% increase in the amount of PSA secreted per cell. AGM-1883 also showed an increase in PSA secretion per cell of 30 to 70%. No concentration dependence was observed with AGM-1883. It has been proposed that inhibition of endothelial cell growth and the antiangiogenic properties of TNP-470 stem from its ability to inhibit growth factor-induced DNA synthesis. TNP-470 has also been shown to regulate the transcription of specific *cdk* and cyclin gene families (Kusaka et al., 1991; Kato et al., 1994). These aspects of TNP-470 may be a potential mechanism of action for its growth inhibitory effects in tumor cells. The increase in PSA secretion by TNP-470 was reflected by equivalent increases of intracellular PSA protein and PSA mRNA. TNP-470 transiently up-regulated AR transcription, similar to PSA, suggesting that increased AR levels could account for the increased expression of PSA. Thus, control of PSA secretion by TNP-470 appears to be at a transcriptional and possibly at a pretranslational level. The control of PSA by TNP-470 appears to be regulated through the AR.

In the phase I trial of TNP-470 in patients with AIPC, Logothetis et al. (2001) showed reversible increases in serum PSA levels upon discontinuation of therapy. Sartor (1995) showed a similar withdrawal phenomenon. The observations of these two reports suggest that the in vitro effects noted by Horti et al. (1999) are reflected clinically, and in the case of TNP-470, reliance on PSA as a surrogate marker of tumor progression is compromised.

C. Granulocyte Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor

Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is a cytokine whose antitumor activity may be mediated through the induction of systemic immune responses. These immune responses are instigated through the indirect activation of T cells via the induction of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin-1 (IL-1) as well as through the activation of the antitumor activity of macrophage and dendritic cells (Fagerberg, 1996; Thomas and Lipsky, 1996). The potential of GM-CSF as a therapeutic agent in prostate cancer was studied using the rat Dunning model of prostate cancer (Viewig et al., 1994). It was demonstrated that rats vaccinated with irradiated prostate cancer cells that secreted GM-CSF had a longer period of disease-free survival than animals that received mock-transfected cells and injections of GM-CSF. When Small et al. (1999) evaluated the activity of GM-CSF against LNCaP cells, it was found to have a cytostatic effect on cell growth while producing an 11.2 to 72.3% increase in secreted PSA. Further evaluation of GM-CSF showed that, although PSA secretion was increased, there was actually a modest reduction in the amount of both intracellular PSA and PSA transcripts. The reduction in PSA transcription was accompanied by a similar reduction in the amount of AR suggesting that GM-CSF regulates PSA expression at a transcriptional level through its effects on AR and at a post-translational level.

The initial results of a phase II study of GM-CSF in men with AIPC showed that upon administration of GM-CSF, serum PSA values declined followed by elevation during the off-therapy period. These results are the opposite of those observed with TNP-470 by Logothetis et al. (2000). In this study, the PSA levels dropped during the off-therapy period and rose while the patients received drug. Although 5 of 22 patients showed a greater than 50% decrease in PSA on at least one occasion, these declines were not sustained. The trial was modified and PSA oscillations were less obvious. Ten of 11 men evaluated demonstrated a median decrease in PSA of 37%; one patient experienced a sustained PSA decline of greater than 50% for more than 6 weeks that was accompanied by an improvement on bone scan. From these results, it appears that the effects of GM-CSF on PSA regulation are complex and occur at multiple levels. The use of PSA as a marker for disease progression with this agent is questionable.

D. Phenylacetate

Phenylacetate (PA) is an aromatic fatty acid that is a metabolite of the phenylalanine pathway. It has been shown to have differentiating properties in many cancer cell lines including prostate, breast, melanoma, medulloblastoma, and astrocytoma (Samid et al., 1992, 1993; Liu et al., 1994; Stockhammer et al., 1995; Adam et al., 1996a,b; Esquenet et al., 1996). Samid et al. (1993) demonstrated that PA has a selective cytostatic effect for prostate carcinoma cell lines, but not for normal endothelial cells or skin fibroblasts. The combination of inducing differentiation and inhibiting tumor cell proliferation made PA an interesting agent for investigation. Most tumor cells are thought to be less differentiated than normal cells, and it was hoped that promotion of differentiation would halt or reverse the malignant process.

Several groups have reported that the well differentiated prostate cancers actually secrete more PSA per cell than those that are less differentiated (Stein et al., 1982; Ellis et al., 1984; Svanholm, 1986). These observations prompted an investigation regarding the in vitro and in vivo effects of PA on prostate cell growth and PSA production (Walls et al., 1996). These investigators found that although treatment of LNCaP cells with 3 mM to 10 mM PA resulted in an inhibition of cell proliferation, there was a 3- to 4-fold increase in the amount of PSA secreted per cell. Immunohistochemical analysis of LN-CaP xenografts grown in male nude mice also showed a 4-fold increase in the number of PSA-producing cells and a reduction in mitotic figures in treated versus control animals. However, it is not stated whether the PAtreated tumors were more differentiated than the untreated controls. RNA blot analysis showed an increase of PSA transcripts upon treatment with 5 mM PA for 3

days, indicating that up-regulation of PSA secretion appears to begin at a transcriptional or pretranslational level. The results of the RNA blot were not quantitated, so it is unclear whether there are additional levels of control that may be modulated by PA. Since PA was shown to increase PSA secretion while producing a cytostatic effect on cell growth both in an in vitro and an in vivo model system, interpretation of a patient's response to PA was problematic based on the use of serum PSA levels alone.

E. Butyrate and Its Analogs

1. Butyrate. Butyrate is a naturally occurring, shortchain fatty acid that is a potent inducer of cellular differentiation. The effects on differentiation are mediated through its inhibition on histone deacetylase (Candido et al., 1978). Inhibition of this enzyme leads to an increase in histone acetylation, changes in chromosome structure, and increased DNA transcription (Candido et al., 1978; Norton et al., 1989). Several investigators have tested the effects of sodium butyrate on PSA expression. The consensus appears to be that butyrate causes a significant increase, 3- to 4-fold, in PSA secretion in vitro (Walls et al., 1996; Gleave et al., 1998; Melchior et al., 1999). This increase was found at the transcriptional level as well (Gleave et al., 1998). However, there are some discrepancies in the role of butyrate on PSA expression. In the experiments performed by Ellerhorst et al. (1999), butyrate caused a transient decrease in the amount of PSA protein to levels that were undetectable by immunoblotting. These results are at odds with those of other investigators who have shown that exposure of LNCaP cells to butyrate leads to increased amounts of secreted PSA. Since the decrease shown by Ellerhorst et al. (1999) was transient with the intracellular levels of PSA protein returning to near basal levels within 48 h of exposure, this discrepancy may be due to differences in experimental design. It appears that, at least in vitro, butyrate may increase PSA expression at several levels. The short half-life of butyrate has negated evaluation of this pharmacological agent in vivo and has led to the evaluation of butyrate analogs that have more favorable half-lives and/or bioavailability.

2. Phenylbutyrate. Phenylbutyrate (PB) is a prodrug for PA and is, reportedly, more potent (Carducci et al., 1996). In vitro, PB had effects on LNCaP cell proliferation and PSA secretion similar to those observed with PA (Walls et al., 1996; Melchior et al., 1999). Both agents inhibited cell proliferation by approximately 60% at 5 mM after 5 or 6 days in culture while inducing an increase in PSA secretion. However, this concentration is probably not clinically achievable based on the clinically achievable ranges reported for PA, ranges of 200 to 300 μ g/ml or approximately 1 to 2 mM (Thibault et al., 1994, 1995). The induction of PSA secretion by 2.5 mM PB was about 2-fold after 5 days of exposure. This effect was only observed, however, in the presence of andro-

gens. When cells were grown in charcoal-stripped serum (CSS), PSA secretion was only slightly increased. As with PA, PB-treated cells demonstrated an increase in the PSA transcript level suggesting a similar mechanism of regulation. Melchior et al. (1999) also showed that PB induced cell cycle arrest in G_0/G_1 and caused a 6-fold increase in apoptosis in both androgen-depleted and androgen-containing media. Upon cell cycle arrest, an induction of $p21^{WAF1/CIP1}$, a regulator of the G_1/S phase checkpoint (El-Deiry et al., 1994; Bissonette and Hunting, 1998), was noted. PA and butyrate have shown a similar induction of $p21^{WAF1/CIP1}$ (Gorospe et al., 1996; Archer et al., 1998; Yamamoto et al., 1998).

The effects of PB on tumor growth were studied using xenografts of both LNCaP and LuCaP 23.1, another androgen-sensitive PSA-producing xenograft model (Ellis et al., 1996) with and without surgical castration (Melchior et al., 1999). Both castration and PB treatment significantly inhibited tumor growth while the combination of castration and PB was synergistic. The LuCaP 23.1 xenograft was much more responsive to all treatments than LNCaP. The effects of PB on serum PSA levels were variable. However, in LuCaP 23.1 xenografts, PB alone resulted in increased PSA levels while castration or castration plus PB caused a decrease. The median PSA levels in the castration plus PB-treated animals were higher than castration alone. The apoptotic index in LNCaP xenografts appeared to be slightly increased in animals with castration plus PB treatment. As in the studies by Walls et al. (1996), there is no mention of the differentiation status of any of the tumor xenografts. The results of clinical trials with PB have not been reported so it is unclear what the effects of this compound are in patients.

3. Isobutyramide. Isobutyramide is an orally bioavailable analog of butyrate with a longer half-life (Gleave et al., 1998). This compound was tested for its effects on LNCaP cell growth and morphology in vitro (Gleave et al., 1998). Isobutyramide caused a potent inhibition of cell proliferation, cell cycle arrest in G_1/G_0 , and a change in cellular morphology similar to what was observed for PB (Melchior et al., 1999). As with PB, isobutyramide-treated animals showed an inhibition of tumor growth compared with controls, and PSA mRNA levels in LNCaP tumors increased 2- to 3-fold in response to isobutyramide exposure. The serum PSA levels rose as the tumor progressed; however, the treated mice had serum PSA levels that were less than $($ >50%) the control mice. Thus, the secreted PSA levels did not increase in a manner consistent with what was observed for the RNA levels in the in vivo scenario. However, neither the changes in the serum PSA measured nor the PSA mRNA increase observed by Northern blotting were normalized to tumor volume. Lack of normalization may account for some of the discrepancy. The effect of isobutyramide on secreted PSA in vitro was not tested. This finding suggests that isobutyramide regulates PSA ex-

pression at a post-transcriptional level in addition to its effects at the transcriptional level. It appears that the mechanism of action of isobutyramide may be very similar to that of butyrate, PA, and PB (Walls et al., 1996; Gleave et al., 1998; Ellerhorst et al., 1999; Melchior et al., 1999) suggesting that the use of PSA as a surrogate marker is questionable with these compounds.

F. Vitamin D3 and Synthetic Vitamin D Analogs

 $1\alpha,25$ -dihydroxyvitamin D_3 [1,25(OH)₂D₃] is the active metabolite of vitamin D and an important regulator of calcium and phosphate homeostasis in the body (Holick, 1991; Feldman et al., 1996). It has been shown to have antiproliferative and differentiating effects on prostate cancer cell lines (Skowronski et al., 1993; Peehl et al., 1994; Miller et al., 1995; Hsieh et al., 1996). Those cell lines that express the highest levels of the vitamin D receptor are those that have the greatest antiproliferative response (Hedlund et al., 1997). Exposure of LNCaP cells to 100 nM $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ for 48 h resulted in a 2-fold increase in both secreted and intracellular PSA (Hsieh et al., 1996; Walls et al., 1996). This same group demonstrated that increased PSA expression was accompanied by a parallel increase in AR expression, suggesting that $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ may have a direct effect on AR transcription. Alternatively, the investigators speculated that $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ may facilitate translocation of the AR from the cytoplasm to the nucleus either singly or through the cooperative action of another receptor such as the vitamin D_3 receptor.

Zhao et al. (1997) also reported that $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ induced PSA secretion in a dose-dependent manner in LNCaP cells. These authors further reported that dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ were synergistic in the induction of PSA. When LNCaP cells were grown in medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS), the combination of these two hormones induced PSA secretion 22-fold compared with 5-fold for either hormone alone. When the cells were grown in medium containing CSS, FBS from which endogenous androgens and many growth factors are depleted, the combination led to a 51-fold increase in PSA secretion. In this same medium, DHT stimulated PSA secretion 11-fold and $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ did not affect PSA secretion at all. The antiproliferative effects of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ on LNCaP cells were abrogated in the CSS medium; this inhibition could be reversed by the addition of 1 nM DHT to the medium. These observations led the authors to propose that the actions of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ are mediated by the AR. However, the questions still remain about whether cooperation occurs between the AR and the vitamin D_3 receptor or whether there is a direct effect of $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ on the AR or its transcription.

Due to the hypercalcemic effect of $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$, it is not widely used as a chemotherapeutic agent. This side effect in conjunction with the potential therapeutic benefits of vitamin D led to the synthesis of several vitamin D analogs that retain the antiproliferative and differentiating properties of the parent compound without the effects on calcium homeostasis. Several synthetic vitamin D analogs have been tested in human prostate cell lines; the results suggest that they have similar effects to $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ (Peehl et al., 1994; Schwartz et al., 1994, 1995; Skowronski et al., 1995). Hedlund et al. (1997) evaluated 13 analogs in ALVA-31 and LNCaP, human prostate cell lines with high constitutive expression of vitamin D receptors. Three analogs were more potent at inhibiting cell proliferation in both ALVA-31 and LN-CaP when compared with $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ and induced PSA secretion in LNCaP. These results suggested that the effects of $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ and its analogs are mediated through the vitamin D receptor. No experiments were performed to determine whether the analogs were synergistic with androgens as was reported for DHT and $1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3}$ (Zhao et al., 1997). The clinical usefulness of vitamin D analogs and what their effect on PSA is in patients with AIPC has yet to be determined.

V. Agents That Down-Regulate Prostate-Specific Antigen

A. Gallium Nitrate

Gallium is a naturally occurring group IIIA heavy metal that has shown antitumor activity (Keller et al., 1986; Warrell et al., 1987; Chitambar et al., 1991; Seidman and Scher, 1991; Todd and Fitton, 1991; Baselga et al., 1993). In animal models, gallium nitrate showed potent cytotoxic activity with minimal toxicity (Hart et al., 1971). In both the PC-3 and LNCaP cell lines, we have shown that gallium nitrate has a concentrationdependent cytotoxicity (Dixon et al., 1997). After 5 days of treatment with 1.3 to 12 μ g/ml gallium nitrate, 34.2 to 66.5% of the LNCaP cells were viable. This decrease in cell number was accompanied by a 31.9- to 36.6-fold decrease in secreted PSA per cell that was also concentration-dependent (S. C. Dixon, unpublished results).

There have been several hypotheses concerning the mechanism of action of gallium nitrate (Larson et al., 1980; Chitambar et al., 1991; Berggren et al., 1993). Larson et al. (1980) have shown that gallium complexes with transferrin and is taken up by tumor cells via the transferrin receptor and appears to interfere with enzymes that utilize iron as a cofactor. In particular, the action of ribonucleotide reductase is inhibited leading to a decrease in the pool of deoxyribonucleotides (Chitambar et al., 1991). In addition, gallium nitrate has been shown to inhibit tyrosine phosphatase activity (Berggren et al., 1993). This observation suggests that some of the effects observed with gallium nitrate may be through its interference with cellular signal transduction mechanisms.

Scher et al. (1987) have published the results of a clinical trial in patients with AIPC treated with gallium nitrate. Two of 23 patients had a partial response as

REGULATION OF PSA BY PHARMACOLOGICAL AGENTS 79

evidenced by a reduction of soft tissue disease, whereas seven were reported to have a reduction in bone pain. However, since this trial was completed before the widespread use of PSA as a surrogate marker, no data is available regarding its changes in these patients. Our group has also completed a phase II clinical trial of gallium nitrate in patients with AIPC (Senderowicz et al., 1999). In this study, gallium nitrate had modest clinical antitumor activity based on PSA responses. One patient had a partial response based on a greater than 75% decrease in serum PSA levels that lasted for 4 months, whereas three other patients demonstrated stable disease based on PSA. The decreases in PSA observed in these patients were transient and occurred during the administration of gallium. Following discontinuation of treatment, PSA rose before the next cycle. These observations suggest that the decreased PSA were at least partially due to an inhibitory effect of gallium on PSA secretion.

B. Troglitazone

Troglitazone is a thiazolidinedione derivative that is currently used as a therapeutic agent for insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus (Kubota et al., 1998). Thiazolidinediones are specific ligands for the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) (Forman et al., 1995; Lehmann et al., 1995). This receptor is highly expressed in adipose tissue and is hypothesized to play a central role in adipocyte differentiation (Tontonoz et al., 1994, 1997); terminal differentiation was also induced in breast cancer cells (Mueller et al., 1998). These studies suggested that thiazolidinediones might have differentiation and antiproliferative properties in other cell types as well. Kubota et al. (1998) evaluated the in vitro effects of troglitazone on the $PPAR\gamma$ expressing PC-3, DU145, and LNCaP cell lines, as well as in vivo in PC-3 xenografts (Kubota et al., 1998). Under the growth conditions used, troglitazone inhibited the growth of PC-3 cells by greater than 70%, but by less than 80% in DU145 and LNCaP. Troglitazone also was effective in suppressing the growth of PC-3 xenografts in vivo. Exposure of LNCaP cells to troglitazone showed an approximate 50% reduction in secreted PSA per $10⁵$ cells.

The PPAR γ can form heterodimers with the retinoic acid receptor RXR^a that binds 9-*cis*-retinoic acid (9-*cis*-RA) and the synthetic ligand LG100268 (Dreyer et al., 1992; Kliewer et al., 1992, 1994). In the presence of both ligands, the receptor complex can bind to DNA resulting in the regulation of target genes. Kubota et al. (1998) tested whether simultaneous exposure of prostate cell lines to troglitazone and LG100268 produced an additive or synergistic effect on cell proliferation. They showed a slight additive effect on inhibition of growth of PC-3 but not LNCaP or DU145 cells. None of the cell lines showed an accumulation of cells in the G_1/G_0 phase of the cell cycle with either drug alone or together. The effect of LG100268 or the combination of troglitazone and LG100268 on PSA secretion was not evaluated in these experiments. These experiments suggest that there is some sort of block in the promotion of terminal differentiation in these cell lines. It has been suggested that the enzyme mitogen-activated protein kinase, which is elevated in some tumors, can phosphorylate the PPAR γ leading to reduced transcriptional activity and a loss of its differentiation properties (Hu et al., 1996; Adams et al., 1997; Camp and Tafuri, 1997; Sivamaran et al., 1997). This has been shown to be the case in the metastatic breast cancer cell line 21-MT by Mueller et al. (1998). When this cell line was treated with an inhibitor of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase, there was an increase in the unphosphorylated form of $PPAR_{\gamma}$ and an increase in its transcriptional activity. In the presence of troglitazone alone, there was a minimal response.

C. Carboxyamido-Triazole

Carboxyamido-triazole (CAI) interferes with calcium influx through its inhibition of nonvoltage-gated calcium channels (Felder et al., 1991; Hupe et al., 1991; Cole and Kohn, 1994). CAI has been shown to be effective at suppressing proliferation, migration, and metastasis of several cell types (Kohn et al., 1992; Cole and Kohn, 1994). In addition, it has been shown to have antiangiogenic properties in several model systems (Kohn et al., 1995; Bauer et al., 2000). Wasilenko et al. (1996) demonstrated that CAI suppressed the growth of several prostate cell lines in a dose-dependent manner. The prostate cell lines varied in their sensitivity to CAI; PPC-1 was the most sensitive and PC-3 the least. LN-CaP and DU145 exhibited comparable sensitivity. The IC₅₀ values for these cell lines ranged from 10 to 30 μ M. At clinically achievable concentrations of CAI (1–10 μ M), there was approximately a 1.7- to 2.5-fold reduction in PSA secretion from LNCaP cells.

In a phase II clinical trial, it was concluded that CAI had no clinical activity in AIPC (Bauer et al., 1999). Fourteen of 15 patients could not be evaluated, but all had progressive disease within approximately 2 months following enrollment. Although there was no clinical activity, 9 of the 15 patients had a transient PSA decrease of 14.3% from baseline. Thus, both the in vitro studies and a clinical trial showed a CAI mediated decline in PSA. However, in the clinical trial, this decrease in PSA did not correspond with a decreased burden of disease.

D. Finasteride

Finasteride is a competitive inhibitor of 5α -reductase, the enzyme responsible for the conversion of testosterone to DHT (Sudduth and Koronkowski, 1993). DHT has a higher affinity for the AR than testosterone and is the predominant ligand for the AR in vivo (Kumar et al., 1999). The role of androgens in the regulation of prostate growth has stimulated the extensive use of finasteride in by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

on June

 $\overrightarrow{5}$ 2012

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org by guest

Two groups have shown that finasteride can inhibit the in vitro growth of LNCaP and, to a lesser degree, PC-3 and DU145 cell lines (Bologna et al., 1995; Kreis et al., 1997). Wang et al. (1997) extended the study on the effects of finasteride on LNCaP cells by looking at PSA regulation. They found that inhibition of PSA secretion from LNCaP cells was maximal with $25 \mu M$ finasteride. At this concentration, PSA secretion was decreased by 56% without a significant loss in cell viability. Decreased amounts of PSA were also reflected at the intracellular protein and RNA levels in a time- and dose-dependent fashion. These results suggested that finasteride regulated PSA gene expression transcriptionally. Previous work showed that the transcriptional regulation of the PSA gene by androgens was modulated by the SRBC (Luke and Coffey, 1994). When transcription of the PSA gene is stimulated, a complex of proteins responsible for the transcriptional transactivation of PSA bind to this site. Wang et al. (1997) showed that treatment with 25 μ M finasteride resulted in decreased binding of complexes at the SRBC that directly correlated with a decrease in PSA secretion and expression. These investigators also showed that the AR was part of the binding complex. When the nuclear extracts were depleted of AR, there was a loss of binding complexes at the SRBC. Therefore, the decrease in PSA expression by finasteride was mediated at the transcriptional level through a nuclear protein complex that involved the AR.

Finasteride has been evaluated in a randomized placebo controlled study in patients with untreated stage D prostate cancer (Presti et al., 1992). In this 12-week study, it was found that there was a significant decrease in PSA levels in those patients taking finasteride compared with those on placebo. The finasteride-treated patients had a 15.1% decrease in PSA, whereas those on placebo had an 11.7% increase ($p < 0.05$). Both groups had comparable decreases in prostatic volume. However, changes in prostatic volume usually occur slowly, with maximal effects requiring 26 weeks (Gormley et al., 1992). Thus, it is possible that a longer study duration might have yielded a significant change in this parameter. In another study, Cote et al. (1998) found that the PSA levels of men treated with finasteride decreased by 48%, whereas in men given a placebo, it was unchanged after 12 months. All men had elevated PSA and negative sextant biopsies at the initiation of the study. In addition, they found that 30% of the finasteride-treated men developed prostate cancer during the study compared with only 4% of the men in the placebo group ($p = 0.025$). There was no significant change in hyperplastic or prostatic intraepithelial neoplastic lesions between the two groups. Thus, although finasteride appears to have a

down-regulatory effect on PSA secretion, its effect on the chemoprevention of prostate cancer is controversial.

E. Leuprolide Acetate

Leuprolide acetate is a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone analog (LHRHa) that is used to block the secretion of androgens from the adrenal gland and is commonly included in the medical castration regimen for prostate cancer (Auclerc et al., 2000). Under controlled growth conditions, Sica et al. (1999) reported that this agent was ineffective at inhibiting growth of LNCaP, PC-3, and DU145 cell lines in vitro. However, it was capable of blocking androgen-stimulated growth in LN-CaP cells and epidermal growth factor (EGF)-induced growth in PC-3. Although leuprolide acetate had no effect on unstimulated cell growth, it significantly downregulated the expression of PSA mRNA. In LNCaP cells, leuprolide acetate alone could reduce PSA mRNA levels to undetectable amounts. In the presence of DHT, leuprolide acetate reduced PSA gene expression to levels observed in untreated cells. They also found that in PC-3 cells induced to produce PSA by treatment with EGF, as determined by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, that leuprolide acetate could also block the EGF-induced expression of this gene. These results not only implicate the AR in the control of PSA gene transcription, but they also show that other growth factors exert regulatory controls on this gene. In agreement with previous studies, these results suggest that there is cross-talk between the cellular responses mediated by the AR and those of other growth factors (Culig et al., 1994).

F. PC-SPES

PC-SPES is a commercially available herbal preparation that consists of one American and seven Chinese herbs: isatis, Panax-pseudo ginseng, chrysanthemum, licorice, saw palmetto, skullcap, *Ganoderma ludidium*, and *Rabdosia rubescens* (Fan and Wang, 1995). It has been shown to have potent estrogenic activity both in vitro and in vivo. A 1:200 dilution of an ethanolic extract produced equivalent effects to 1 nM estradiol in a transcriptional activation assay in yeast (DiPaola et al., 1998). When female CD1 mice were treated with a suspension of PC-SPES, uterine weight was significantly increased compared with controls (DiPaola et al., 1998). Using a high-performance liquid chromatography "standardized" ethanolic extract of PC-SPES, Hsieh et al. (1998) showed that LNCaP cell growth was inhibited in a time- and concentration-dependent manner. A similar finding using both LNCaP and PC-3 cells was reported by Halicka et al. (1997). Inhibition of cell growth was accompanied by increased apoptosis (Halicka et al., 1997) and a decrease in proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), which is used as a indicator of mitotic index (Hsieh et al., 1997). The amount of both secreted and intracellular PSA was reduced in LNCaP cells (Hsieh et

PHARMACOLOGICAL REVIEWS

al., 1997). Secreted PSA was reduced by 60 to 70%, whereas intracellular PSA decreased by only 20 to 40%. The secreted PSA was not normalized to account for differences in cell growth that may account for the difference observed with the intracellular PSA decreases. These investigators also found that the decrease in intracellular PSA was paralleled by decreased amounts of AR protein. These results point to both post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation of PSA by PC-SPES. Since protein levels of the AR are also effected by treatment of cells with PC-SPES, control may also be mediated at a transcriptional level. In another study performed on the B cell-derived cell line, Mutu I, the proto-oncogene *bcl-6* was down-regulated by 60 to 72% by PC-SPES (Hsieh et al., 1998). Since *bcl-6* has been proposed to act as a transcriptional repressor (Deweindt et al., 1995; Chang et al., 1996), it may be at least partially responsible for the decreases observed in both PSA and AR levels. Alternatively, it is possible that *bcl-6* may in some way modulate AR activity leading to the down-regulation of PSA in prostate cell lines. Confirmation of these hypotheses requires further investigation.

The use of PC-SPES as a dietary supplement in men with prostate cancer has been evaluated in two clinical trials. The side effects were limited to breast tenderness and one incident of superficial venous thrombosis (DiPaola et al., 1998; Pfeifer et al., 2000). Pfeifer et al. (2000) reported a reduction in patient perceived pain. Both studies reported reductions in serum PSA levels in all patients who received PC-SPES. However, within a few weeks of discontinuing PC-SPES, PSA levels began to rise. Neither study reported any changes in prostatic growth. Thus, although the use of PC-SPES may provide some clinical benefit to patients with prostate cancer, it may confound the interpretation of other concurrent therapies through its effects on PSA regulation.

G. Suramin

Suramin is a polysulfonated napthylurea that has shown clinical activity in metastatic prostate cancer (Dawson et al., 1997), although a FDA advisory committee did not ultimately recommend it for approval. Suramin has been shown to inhibit the activity of several enzymes including reverse transcriptase (De Clercq, 1979) and protein kinase C (Hensey et al., 1989). It is known to inhibit the binding of growth factors and cytokines to their receptors (De Clercq, 1979; Hosang, 1985; Coffey et al., 1987; Moscatelli and Quarto, 1989; Fantini et al., 1990; Kim et al., 1991; Pienta et al., 1991; Strassman et al., 1993). It interferes with cell motility and metastasis (Kim et al., 1991; Pienta et al., 1991; Ellis and Dano, 1993), induces cell differentiation (Fantini et al., 1990) and is antiangiogenic (Gagliardi et al., 1992). The clinical benefit and antitumor activity of suramin therefore could be due to any of a number of its in vitro activities.

Suramin exhibits antitumor activity against humanderived prostate cancer cell lines (Yamazaki et al., 1984; La Rocca et al., 1991). Thalmann et al. (1996) studied the effect of suramin on the growth of the LNCaP cell line and its androgen-independent subline C4-2. They found that suramin had no effect on the growth of either androgen-independent C4-2 xenografts or of C4-2 cells in vitro, whereas the growth of androgen-dependent LN-CaP cells in culture was transiently inhibited. The effect of suramin on the growth of LNCaP as a xenograft was not ascertained. Experiments performed by Arah et al. (1999) demonstrated that in vitro, suramin inhibited cell growth in LNCaP by 50 to 82% and in PC-3 cells by 13 to 38%.

Although no growth inhibition of C4–2 xenografts was observed, PSA secretion was significantly inhibited in the mice carrying C4-2 xenografts. In addition, the steady state amounts of PSA mRNA were reduced in both C4-2 and LNCaP in vitro (Thalmann et al., 1996). Thus, it appears that suramin may alter PSA expression apart from its inhibitory effect on tumor growth. In two other independent experiments, it was shown that suramin either caused a slight decrease in PSA secretion per cell from LNCaP cells that was not significant (Walls et al., 1996) or had no effect on PSA secretion (Arah et al., 1999). Discrepancies between these experiments are most likely due to differences in experimental design.

In two trials using suramin in men with hormonerefractory prostate cancer, it was found that 85 of 103 patients had some decrease in serum PSA levels after 4 weeks on suramin (Eisenberger et al., 1993, 1999; Sridhara et al., 1995). These men did not receive flutamide or leuprolide. They did receive hydrocortisone, but Thalmann et al. (1996) have reported that this agent has no effect on PSA secretion from LNCaP cells. Based on the findings from mice bearing C4-2 xenografts, it is likely that suramin is partially responsible for the PSA declines observed in these patients.

There are several explanations as to how suramin might regulate PSA expression. Some of the effects might be mediated through the AR, as has been observed for other pharmacological agents. Suramin has been proposed to interfere with the synthesis of adrenal androgen synthesis either through inhibition of enzymes involved in this metabolic pathway, or by direct toxic effects on the adrenal gland (Stein et al., 1986, 1989). However, the reduction in PSA expression was observed in serum-free growth conditions as well as in 5% serum. This result demonstrates that some of the effects of suramin are not mediated through the AR but must utilize another mechanism, such as interference with the action of growth factors or possibly through inhibition of a protein kinase C-mediated pathway. Finally, although suramin appears to have a regulatory effect on PSA expression, the means by which this effect occurs are complicated and not well understood.

by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

June \vec{c} 201

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org by guest on

H. Flavopiridol

Flavopiridol (L86-8275) is a flavone derivative that inhibits cyclin-dependent kinases, thereby blocking progression through the cell cycle and leading to cell death (Kaur et al., 1992; Worland et al., 1993). Flavopiridol at high concentrations, 400 to 800 nM, has been shown to be cytotoxic to LNCaP cells (S. C. Dixon, unpublished data). However, these concentrations are not clinically achievable. In the clinically achievable concentration range of 50 to 100 nM, flavopiridol significantly inhibited the growth of LNCaP cells. Flavopiridol at 10 nM had little effect on cell growth. In addition to a high degree of cytotoxicity, flavopiridol potently induced apoptosis within 24 h of exposure. The amount of cell death induced by 400 to 800 nM flavopiridol made measurement of PSA unreliable after 48 h of exposure. However, there did appear to be some down-regulation within the first 48 h at these concentrations. In the 10 to 100 nM concentration range, secreted PSA was not affected. Concentrations between 100 and 400 nM were not tested.

I. Estramustine Phosphate and Its Metabolites

Estramustine phosphate is a conjugate of β -estradiol and nor-nitrogen mustard that is metabolically activated in vivo (Tew et al., 1983). Its metabolites that include estramustine, estromustine, estrone, and β -estradiol cause disassembly of microtubules and inhibit their de novo formation (Friden et al., 1987; Stearns and Tew, 1988; Tew and Stearns, 1989; Benson and Hartley-Asp, 1990; Dahllof et al., 1993). This results in mitotic arrest during metaphase and cell death (Hartley-Asp, 1984; Hansenson et al., 1988; Tew and Stearns, 1989; Benson and Hartley-Asp, 1990; Kreis et al., 1997; Arah et al., 1999). Wang et al. (1998) showed that estramustine metabolites, but not estramustine phosphate itself, can bind with various affinities and in a concentrationdependent manner to the mutant AR found in LNCaP cells or to HeLa cells transfected with a mutant AR. These characteristics have led to the widespread use of estramustine phosphate, either alone or in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents, in the treatment of hormone-refractory prostate cancer.

Estramustine has been shown to be cytotoxic to prostate cancer cell lines (Hartley-Asp and Gunnarsson, 1982; Hartley-Asp, 1984; Hansenson et al., 1988; Kreis et al., 1997; Arah et al., 1999). Arah et al. (1999) showed that estramustine comparably decreased both LNCaP and PC-3 cell growth in a concentration-dependent manner by 28 to 84%. In addition, they showed that estramustine caused a 53 to 90% concentration-dependent decrease in PSA secretion in LNCaP cells. Wang et al. (1998) demonstrated that estramustine significantly down-regulates PSA mRNA as well. The amount of PSA transcripts was decreased by 56 and 90% by 5 and 10 μ M estramustine, respectively. Comparison of the results obtained by these two groups suggests that estramustine regulates PSA gene expression at a transcriptional level. Given that estramustine can bind significantly to the mutant AR in LNCaP cells (EC_{50} = $3.13 \pm 0.31 \mu M$) (Wang et al., 1998), it is probable that the transcriptional regulation of PSA by estramustine is mediated through the AR. However, caution should be taken when trying to extend these results to a normal, wild-type AR.

Significant decreases in serum PSA levels in patients with hormone-refractory prostate cancer have not been observed in a phase I clinical trial using estramustine as a single agent (Haas et al., 1998). The objective response rate in this trial was also minimal $\left(< 20\% \right)$. The results of clinical trials using estramustine in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents such as docetaxel, vinblastine, and etoposide have been more encouraging (Hudes et al., 1992; Pienta et al., 1994; Attivissimo et al., 1996; Colleoni et al., 1997; Cruciani and Turolla, 1998). In these trials, PSA declines of $>50\%$ were often observed. The decreases in PSA observed may have been due to the regulatory effects of the other agents used or to the combination of the agents. Both etoposide and vinblastine have proven to be too highly cytotoxic for their effects on PSA secretion in LNCaP cells to be determined (Arah et al., 1999).

J. Resveratrol

Resveratrol is a phytoalexin found in many dietary plants, including grapes and peanuts, that can inhibit all stages of malignant transformation: initiation, promotion, and progression (Jang et al., 1997). It also has been shown to inhibit the growth of hormone-sensitive and -refractory breast cancer cell lines (Mgbonyebi et al., 1998). Resveratrol is a potent antioxidant and can inhibit ribonucleotide reductase (Fontecase et al., 1998), DNA polymerase (Sun et al., 1998), and cyclooxygenase-1 and -2 (Jang et al., 1997; Subbaramaiah et al., 1998).

Recently, the effects of resveratrol were studied in human prostate cell lines (Hsieh and Wu, 1999; Mitchell et al., 1999). Both groups reported that resveratrol caused a significant decrease in cell proliferation. Hsieh and Wu (1999) reported, however, that the growth of the androgen-dependent LNCaP cell line was suppressed to a greater extent than that of the androgen-independent PC-3, DU145 or JCA-1 cell lines. In addition they reported that in the androgen-independent cell lines, there was a partial block of the G_1 to S phase transition. This block was not evident in the LNCaP cell line. However, LNCaP cells did show a higher percentage of apoptotic cells in response to resveratrol than did the androgenindependent cell lines. Both groups also demonstrated that PSA secretion was down-regulated in response to resveratrol; Hsieh and Wu (1999) extended this observation to the intracellular protein level (Mitchell et al., 1999). Controversy arises as to how resveratrol might be

PHARMACOLOGICAL REVIEWS

affecting PSA expression. Hsieh and Wu (1999) showed that there was no decrease in AR binding, nor AR protein expression, after 4 days of treatment with 0.25 to 25 nM resveratrol. They concluded that the effects of resveratrol were not mediated through the AR. On the other hand, Mitchell and colleagues (1999) found that exposure of LNCaP cells to 50 to 150 μ M resveratrol significantly reduced AR protein levels, and down-regulated the expression of three other androgen-regulated genes: human kallikrein 2 (hKLK2), ARA70, and p21WAF1/CIP1. In addition, they showed that the presence of resveratrol abrogated transcription from reporter gene constructs containing either the androgen-regulated PSA promoter or multiple copies of the androgen response element.

The conflicting nature of these results makes it difficult to determine whether resveratrol exerts its effects in an androgen-dependent or -independent manner. Differences in results between these two groups could be attributed to the concentrations of resveratrol used. Mitchell et al. (1999) used concentrations that were much higher, and may not be clinically achievable, than those of Hsieh and Wu (1999). Or some other experimental variables such as cell passage, culture conditions, etc., may be responsible. It may be that at lower concentrations of resveratrol, the principal mechanism of action is by the regulation of the abundance of AR accessory proteins, such as ARA70, or by inhibiting the binding of the AR to its DNA response elements. Effects on AR abundance may only be evident at high concentrations of resveratrol.

VI. Agents That Have a Dual Effect On Prostate-Specific Antigen

Retinoids are analogs of vitamin A. There are a number of natural and synthetic retinoids including retinol, 9-*cis*-RA, 13-*cis*-RA, all-*trans*-RA, and *N*-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide (4-HPR). These compounds have been shown to block the phenotypic expression of cancer cells in both human and animal models regardless of the promoting factors (Lasnitzki and Goodman, 1974; Sporn et al., 1976; Chopra and Wilkoff, 1979; Moon et al., 1983). Thus, retinoids can inhibit the proliferation of both normal and cancerous prostate cells and are capable of inducing differentiation (Lippman et al., 1987; Pollard et al., 1991). Carter et al. (1990) have reported that the risk of prostate cancer decreases with the uptake of high doses of vitamin A. The regulation of retinoids is complex, and their effects are mediated through either the retinoid acid receptor α (RAR α) or the retinoid X receptor α (RXR α) (Petrovich et al., 1987; Brand et al., 1988; Mangelsdorf et al., 1990; Blumberg et al., 1992; Levin et al., 1992). This complexity doubtless leads to the disparate effects of these agents on PSA regulation.

A. Retinol, 9-cis-Retinoic Acid, and 13-cis-Retinoic Acid

Young and colleagues (1994) looked at the effects of retinol and retinoic acid on LNCaP cells both alone and in the presence of androgenic stimulation. They found that both retinol and retinoic acid (form unspecified) inhibited the growth of androgen-stimulated LNCaP cells. Retinol was a less efficient inhibitor than retinoic acid as was expected from a previous study showing that retinol is less potent than retinoic acid (Romjin et al., 1988). Young et al. (1994) found that the growth inhibition was accompanied by a down-regulation of AR protein but did not effect ligand binding. When they looked at PSA and hKLK2, they found that both genes were down-regulated similar to the AR. Down-regulation of PSA and hKLK2 was found for secreted and intracellular protein and for mRNA suggesting that the downregulation of the AR by retinoic acid was responsible. However, it is interesting that the loss of AR protein and, therefore, a loss of transactivation potential may not be the primary cause of the decreased PSA and hKLK2 expression. In addition, the down-regulation of PSA mRNA reported by these authors appears to be minimal when compared visually to the control gene, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. The Northern analysis was not quantitated in any way, compromising the interpretation of these data. The maximal repression observed for intracellular PSA and hKLK2 protein occurred at 24 h after exposure to retinoic acid, whereas the maximal repression of AR protein did not occur until 36 h. The investigators interpreted these data to suggest that other factors regulated by retinoic acid might influence the transactivation functions of the AR or the transcription of PSA and hKLK2. However, since PSA mRNA does not seem to be significantly downregulated, it may be more likely that PSA is downregulated during some post-transcriptional event that may not rely on the AR, leading to the decreased intracellular and secreted PSA.

In another study, $1 \mu M$ 13-*cis*-RA down-regulated secretion of PSA from LNCaP cells by greater than 3-fold (Dahiya et al., 1994). Both intracellular PSA protein and PSA mRNA were down-regulated similarly to secreted PSA, suggesting that 13-*cis*-RA exerted its effects either at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional level. At the same concentration of 13-*cis*-RA, the growth of LN-CaP cells was inhibited. DNA synthesis was decreased by 2-fold while doubling time was doubled. In addition, this concentration of 13-*cis*-RA showed little cytotoxicity $(>95\%$ of the cells were viable), although cell morphology also changed. Whether this change is toward a more differentiated phenotype is difficult to conclude since there are no defined parameters to describe a differentiated prostate cell.

B. All-trans-Retinoic Acid

The demonstration that PA induced the secretion of PSA from LNCaP cells prompted Walls et al. (1999) to determine the effects of other well known differentiation agents in this system. When LNCaP cells were exposed to 3μ M all-*trans*-RA, PSA secretion was induced apby guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

्र anest

on June

 $\vec{5}$ 2012

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org

PHARM
REV

PHARMACOLOGICAL REVIEWS

Ospet

proximately 2-fold. All-*trans*-RA binds to the RAR^a and mediates its differentiating effects through this receptor (Kamei et al., 1994; Xue et al., 1996). Thus, in prostate cancer cells, it appears that all-*trans*-RA leads to differentiation and up-regulation of PSA secretion; this response is mediated through signal transduction events mediated by $\text{RAR}\alpha$.

The effects on PSA levels observed with all-*trans*-RA are contrary to those observed for 9-*cis*-RA and 13-*cis*-RA. It is unclear what the differences are in the mechanism of action of these compounds in regard to PSA regulation. It is possible that the choice of which receptor is activated, RAR α or RXR α , and the cellular responses that each mediates may be responsible for the differences observed in the regulation on PSA by retinoids. As mentioned above, all-*trans*-RA utilizes the RAR^a (Kamei et al., 1994; Xue et al., 1996). 13-*cis*-RA, on the other hand, is a ligand for the $RXR\alpha$. Exposure of LNCaP cells to 13-*cis*-RA leads to up-regulation of the RXR^a gene (Dahiya et al., 1994). 9-*cis*-RA has a higher affinity for RXR α but is also able to activate the RAR α (Levin et al., 1992). Alternatively, the presence or absence of specific cellular retinoic acid-binding proteins that modulate the cellular response to retinoids may play a role in PSA regulation (Dahiya et al., 1994).

C. N*-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)retinamide*

Although retinoids were efficacious as chemopreventive agents of prostate cancer in experimental models, their high degree of toxicity limited their usefulness in humans. To this end, synthetic analogs that were less toxic were derived. One such analog is 4-HPR. 4-HPR effectively suppressed tumor growth in both in vitro and in vivo model systems and inhibited invasiveness in vitro (Pollard et al., 1991; Pienta et al., 1993; Slawin et al., 1993; Hsieh and Wu, 1997; Igawa et al., 1997; Shen et al., 1999). When LNCaP cells were exposed to 4-HPR, there was a dose-dependent inhibition of cell growth (Hsieh and Wu, 1997; Shen et al., 1999). The growth of PC-3 cells was inhibited but to a lesser extent than LNCaP cells (Igawa et al., 1997; Shen et al., 1999). In addition to growth inhibition, both groups showed that 4-HPR caused a dramatic change in cellular morphology, arrest in the G_1 phase of the cell cycle, and induced apoptosis in LNCaP cells. Hsieh and Wu (1997) showed that PSA expression was down-regulated between 17 and 68% at 1 and 5 μ M 4-HPR, respectively, when LNCaP were grown in the presence of FBS (which contains androgen). Shen et al. (1999) reported that when LNCaP cells were grown without androgen, 4-HPR blocked androgen-induced PSA secretion by 50 to 80%. Down-regulation of PSA was observed at both the protein and mRNA levels (Hsieh and Wu, 1997; Shen et al., 1999). The amount of down-regulation of PSA mRNA was much less than reported for secreted PSA. This may be due to the lack of normalization of the secreted PSA to the reduced cell number in the presence of 4-HPR. Con-

PHARMACOLOGICAL REVIEWS

comitant with the down-regulation of PSA protein, Hsieh and Wu (1997) demonstrated that the AR was down-regulated by 35 to 80%. Thus, it appears that down-regulation of PSA by 4-HPR is mediated through the AR.

4-HPR has been investigated in a phase II chemoprevention trial for prostate cancer (Pienta et al., 1997). There were several problems in the design and implementation of this trial. The most limiting problem was the small number of patients that remained on study that could be evaluated. At the end of 6 months, the PSA level of one patient had doubled and he was taken off study. In addition, all patients had negative prostate biopsies within 3 months of starting the trial. At the conclusion of the trial, 4 of the 16 patients that remained had positive biopsies. Pre- and post-study measurements of prostate gland volume were performed on different equipment and a comparison was not valid. From this trial, it is not possible to determine the in vivo effects of 4-HPR on PSA secretion. In addition, it appears that 4-HPR is ineffective in the prevention of prostate cancer; however, the small sample size compromised the power of statistical analyses. In addition, one of the trial's criteria was a PSA level of >4.0 ng/ml. Using this criteria, it is argued that the study design was not appropriate for chemoprevention, but for treatment, since men with a $PSA > 4.0$ ng/ml would be suspect for having prostate cancer. The evaluation of 4-HPR on PSA regulation in prostate cancer requires further investigation.

VII. Discussion

PSA is the one of the most widely used surrogate markers for disease progression and treatment response. Elevated levels of PSA are taken to be indicative of high tumor burden, evidence of disease progression, or indicating a lack of response to a particular therapeutic agent. Lower PSA levels are suggestive of a beneficial response or a decrease in tumor burden. However, the scientific literature clearly demonstrates that PSA expression and secretion are regulated by pharmacological agents. The expression of PSA and cellular proliferation are independently regulated functions in the prostate cancer cell (Cunha et al., 1987). This observation raises the possibility that regulation of PSA expression by pharmacological agents or their metabolites may occur independently of any effect on cell growth or proliferation. For the interpretation of clinical data based on serial PSA measurements to be valid, it is important to know the effects of a particular pharmacological agent on the regulation of PSA expression and secretion. If this action of the agent is not accounted for, it is possible that a potentially beneficial agent might be discontinued due to its ability to up-regulate PSA secretion, even though it may effectively abrogate tumor growth and/or metastasis. Alternatively, an agent may down-regulate PSA se-

cretion but have little or no effect on tumor growth, leading to the continuation of an ineffective therapy. Thus, noncytotoxic agents need to be prospectively evaluated for their effects on PSA secretion per cell number or cell mass.

A summary of the agents reviewed in this article and their effect on PSA expression/secretion is shown in Table 1. There appear to be two mechanisms by which a pharmacological agent may regulate PSA expression and secretion. The first is by means of an androgendependent mechanism. In this mechanism, the agent in question either alters the abundance and/or the function of the AR. The function of the AR may be changed through the availability of certain accessory proteins that interact with the AR, by changes in its phosphorylation status, or by inhibiting or accentuating its binding to its response element in the promoter of the PSA gene. Since the AR is responsible for the transcriptional transactivation of the PSA gene, any change in its status is likely to effect PSA expression in some way (Cleutjens et al., 1996). A review of the literature shows that there are several agents that apparently work in this manner including vitamin D and its analogs, retinoids, TNP-470 and its metabolite AGM-1883, GM-CSF, phenylbutyrate, finasteride, leuprolide acetate, PC-SPES, estramustine, and flavopiridol.

The second mechanism by which an agent may regulate PSA expression is in an androgen-independent manner. In this mechanism, there is far more variation regarding the site of regulatory controls. The agents that function in this manner have pleiotropic effects. They are capable of eliciting significant changes in the cellular milieu of growth factors, growth factor receptors, signal transduction molecules, and other regulatory molecules. This mechanism may lead to direct effects on the PSA gene. Although PSA is often thought of as an androgen-regulated gene, it has been shown that PSA expression can be regulated by a variety of growth factors as well as by extracellular matrix proteins (Guo et al., 1994). Regulation of PSA may also occur post-transcriptionally. In this case, regulatory controls may exist for the degradation of PSA mRNA, translation of the mRNA into protein, post-translational modifications to PSA protein, and in the trafficking of the protein to be secreted. The agents that appear to act in this manner include gallium nitrate, CAI, troglitazone, and thalidomide. It is possible that a particular pharmacological agent might function in both an androgen-dependent and -independent manner. In this case, changes in the concentration of the agent, the presence or absence of interacting agents, and the general state of the cell might drive the regulation of PSA in one direction or the other. Alternatively, both mechanisms may function simultaneously leading to multiple levels of regulation.

These findings suggest that the efficacy of a particular pharmacological agent in the treatment of prostate cancer should be evaluated with caution. An agent should

spet

not be assumed to have activity because it down-regulates PSA expression/secretion. Likewise, a drug should not be designated as inactive solely on the basis that it up-regulates PSA production. The effect of the agent on PSA expression should be assessed using a preclinical model system. There are caveats about the use of preclinical models to assess the effects of pharmacological agents on PSA regulation. Preclinical models are not predictive in all patients, and it is important to determine to what extent the results predicted correlate with clinical outcome. Recently, it has been shown that the results of in vitro experiments may be altered depending on the choice of preclinical model. For example, Davis and Sarkar showed that when LNCaP cells (which have a mutated AR) were exposed to genistein, PSA secretion was reduced. However, exposure of VeCaP cells (which have a normal AR) to genistein had no effect on PSA secretion (Davis and Sarkar, 2000). These findings accentuate the necessity for the development of new model systems for the study of prostate cancer as well as the need to test hypotheses in multiple model systems. Preclinical models also isolate the effects of the agent to a particular cell type and culture condition. They do not take into account contributions from the surrounding cellular environment. These findings call for refinements in drug development for prostate cancer to involve careful preclinical work in both in vitro and in vivo model systems to document the possibility of regulation of PSA before attempting to interpret changes in PSA levels in clinical trials. In cases where PSA regulation is clearly altered independently of any antitumor effect, it should be noted in the design, conduct, and interpretation of clinical trials. Caution should be advised in the interpretation of PSA levels as an endpoint in clinical trials for agents in prostate cancer unless an exploration of changes in these PSA levels, independent of tumor activity, has been properly performed. Additionally, we need to develop new methods and models to assess the regulation of PSA expression/secretion in vivo as well as to prospectively validate the results obtained in vitro for clinical correlates.

Acknowledgments. This work was funded in part by the Office of Minority Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

REFERENCES

- Adam L, Crepin M and Israel L (1996a) Tumor growth inhibition, apoptosis, and Bcl-2 down-regulation of MCF-7-ras tumors by sodium phenylacetate and tamoxifen combination. *Cancer Res* **57:**1023–1029.
- Adam L, Crepin M, Savin C and Israel L (1996b) Sodium phenylacetate induces growth inhibition and Bcl-2 down-regulation and apoptosis in MCF7ras cells *in vitro* and in nude mice. *Cancer Res* **56:**5156–5160.
- Adams M, Reginato MJ, Shao D, Lazar MA and Chatterjee VK (1997) Transcriptional activation by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma is inhibited by phosphorylation at a consensus mitogen-activated protein kinase site. *J Biol Chem* **272:**5128–5132.
- Allhoff EP, Proppe KH, Chapma CM, Lin C-H and Prout GR Jr (1983) Evaluation of prostate specific acid phosphatase and prostate specific antigen in identification of prostatic cancer. *J Urol* **129:**315–318.
- Arah IN, Dixon SC, Horti J and Figg WD (1999) Enhanced activity of estramustine, vinblastine, etoposide, and suramin in prostate carcinoma. *Neoplasma (Bratisl)* **46:**123–130.
- Archer SY, Meng S, Shei A and Hodin RA (1998) p21 (WAF1) is required for

butyrate-mediated growth inhibition of human colon cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95:**6791–6796.

- Attivissimo LA, Fetten JV and Kreis W (1996) Symptomatic improvement associated with combined estramustine and vinblastine chemotherapy for metastatic prostate cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* **19:**581–583.
- Auclerc G, Antoine EC, Cajfinger F, Brunet-Pommeyrol A, Agazia C and Khayat D (2000) Management of advanced prostate cancer. *Oncologist* **5:**36–44.
- Baselga J, Kris MG, Scher HI, Phillips M and Heelan RT (1993) Phase II trial of gallium nitrate in previously treated patients with small cell lung cancer. *Investig New Drugs* **11:**85–86.
- Bauer KS, Dixon SC and Figg WD (1998) Inhibition of angiogenesis by thalidomide requires metabolic activation, which is species-dependent. *Biochem Pharmacol* **55:**1827–1834.
- Bauer KS, Cude KJ, Dixon SC, Kruger EA and Figg WD (2000) Carboxyamidotriazole inhibits angiogenesis by blocking the calcium-mediated nitric-oxide synthase-vascular endothelial growth factor pathway. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **292:**31–
- 37. Bauer KS, Figg WD, Hamilton JM, Jones EC, Premkumar A, Steinberg SM, Dyer V, Linehan WM, Pluda JM and Reed E (1999) A pharmacokinetically guided phase II study of carboxyamido-triazole in androgen-independent prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **5:**2324–2329.
- Benson R and Hartley-Asp B (1990) Mechanism of action and clinical uses of estramustine. *Cancer Invest* **8:**375–380.
- Berggren MM, Burns LA, Abraham RT and Powis G (1993) Inhibition of protein tyrosine phosphatase by the antitumor agent gallium nitrate. *Cancer Res* **53:**1862– 1866.
- Bertagna C, de Gery A, Hucher M, Francois JP and Zanirato J (1994) Efficacy of the combination of nilutamide plus orchiectomy in patients with metastatic prostatic cancer. A meta-analysis of seven randomized double-blind trials (1056 patients). *Br J Urol* **73:**396–402.
- Bissonette N and Hunting DJ (1998) p21-induced cycle arrest in G1 protects cells from apoptosis induced by UV-irradiation or RNA polymerase II blockage. *Oncogene* **16:**3461–3469.
- Blumberg R, Mangelsdorf DJ, Dyck JA, Bittner DA, Evans RM and DeRoberts EM (1992) Multiple retinoid-responsive receptors in a single cell: Families of retinoid "X" receptors and retinoic acid receptors in the *Xenopus* egg. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **89:**2321–2325.
- Bologna M, Muzi P, Biordi L, Festuccia C and Vicentini C (1995) Finasteride dose-dependently reduces the proliferation rate of the LNCaP human prostatic cancer cell line *in vitro. Urology* **45:**292–290.
- Brand N, Petrovich M, Krust A, Chambon P, de The H, Marchio A, Tiollais P and Dejean A (1988) Identification of a second human retinoic acid receptor. *Nature (Lond)* **332:**850–853.
- Bubley GJ, Carducci M, Dahut W, Dawson N, Daliani D, Eisenberger M, Figg WD, Freidlin B, Halabi S, Hudes G, Hussain M, Kaplan R, Myers C, Oh W, Petrylak DP, Reed E, Roth B, Sartor O, Scher H, Simons J, Sinibaldi V, Small ES, Smith MR, Trump DL, Vollmer R and Wilding G (1999) Eligibility and response guidelines for phase II clinical trials in androgen-independent prostate cancer: Recommendations from the prostate-specific antigen working group. *J Clin Oncol* **17:** 3461–3467.
- Camp HS and Tafuri SR (1997) Regulation of $PPAR\gamma$ activity by mitogen-activated protein kinase. *J Biol Chem* **272:**10811–10816.
- Candido EPM, Reeves R and Davie JR (1978) Sodium butyrate inhibits histone acetylation in cultured cells. *Cell* **14:**105–113.
- Carducci MA, Nelson JB, Chan-Track KM, Ayyagari SR, Sweatt WH, Campbell PA, Nelson WG and Simons JW (1996) Phenylbutyrate induces apoptosis in human prostate cancer and is more potent than phenylacetate. *Clin Cancer Res* **2:**379– 387.
- Carter BS, Carter B and Isaacs JT (1990) Epidemiologic evidence regarding predisposing factors to prostate cancer. *Prostate* **16:**187–197.
- Chang CC, Ye BH, Chaganti RSK and Dalla-Favera R (1996) Bcl-6, a POZ/zinc-finger protein, is a sequence-specific transcriptional repressor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93:**6947–6952.
- Chitambar CR, Narasimhan J, Guy J, Sem DS and O'Brien WJ (1991) Inhibition of ribonucleotide reductase by gallium in murine leukemic L1210 cells. *Cancer Res* **51:**6199–6201.
- Chopra DP and Wilkoff LJ (1979) Activity of retinoids against benzo-(A)pyreneinduced hyperplasia in mouse prostate organ cultures. *Eur J Cancer* **15:**1417– 1423.
- Chybowski FM, Keller JJ and Bergstralh EJ (1991) Predicting radionuclide bone scan findings in patients with newly diagnosed, untreated prostate cancer: Prostate-specific antigen is superior to all other clinical parameters. *J Urol* **145:**313– 318.
- Clements JA (1989) The glandular kallikrein family of enzymes: Tissue-specific expression and hormonal regulation. *Endocrinol Rev* **10:**393–419.
- Cleutjens KB, van Eekelen CC, van der Korput HA, Brinkman AO and Trapman J (1996) Two androgen response regions cooperate in steroid hormone regulated activity of the prostate-specific antigen promoter. *J Biol Chem* **271:**6379–6388.
- Coffey RJ, Leof EB, Shipley GD and Moses HL (1987) Suramin inhibition of growth factor receptor binding and mitogenicity in AKR-2B cells. *J Cell Physiol* **132:**143– 148.
- Cole KA and Kohn EC (1994) Calcium-mediated signal transduction: Biology, biochemistry, and therapy. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* **13:**33–41.
- Colleoni M, Graiff C, Vicario G, Nelli P, Sgarbossa G, Pancheri F and Manente P (1997) Phase II study of estramustine, oral etoposide, and vinorelbine in hormone refractory prostate cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* **20:**383–386.
- Cote RJ, Skinner EC, Salem CE, Mertes SJ, Stanczyk EZ, Henderson BE, Pike MC and Ross RK (1998) The effect of finasteride on the prostate gland in men with elevated serum prostate-specific antigen levels. *Br J Cancer* **78:**413–418.
- Crawford ED, Eisenberger MA, McLeod DG, Spaulding JT, Benson R, Dorr FA,

by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

ą anest

on June

 \vec{q} Ş

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org

spet

Blumenstein BA, Davis MA and Goodman PJ (1989) A controlled trial of leuprolide with and without flutamide in prostatic carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* **321:**419–424. Cruciani G and Turolla GM (1998) Phase II oral estramustine and oral etoposide in hormone refractory adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*

- **17:**329a. Culig Z, Hobisch A, Cronauer MV, Redmayr C, Trapman J, Hittmair A, Bartsch G and Klocker H (1994) Androgen receptor activation in prostate tumor cell lines by insulin-like growth factor-I, keratinocyte growth factor and epidermal growth factor. *Cancer Res* **54:**5474–5478.
- Cunha GR, Donjancour AA, Cooke PS, Mee S, Bigsby RM, Higgins SJ and Sugimua Y (1987) The endocrinology and developmental biology of the prostate. *Endocrinol Rev* **8:**338–362.
- D'Amato RJ, Loughnan MS, Flynn E and Folkman J (1994) Thalidomide is an inhibitor of angiogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **91:**4082–4085.
- Dahiya R, Park HD, Cusick J, Vessella RL, Fournier G and Narayan P (1994) Inhibition of tumorigenic potential and prostate-specific antigen expression in LNCaP human prostate cancer cell line by 13-*cis*-retinoic acid. *Int J Cancer* **59:**126–132.
- Dahllof B, Billstrom A, Cabral F and Hartley-Asp B (1993) Estramustine depolymerizes microtubules by binding to tubulin. *Cancer Res* **53:**4573–4581.
- Dai JL, Maiorino CA, Gkonos PJ and Burnstein KL (1996) Androgenic up-regulation of androgen receptor cDNA expression in androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. *Steroids* **61:**531–539.
- Davis JN and Sarkar FH (2000) A novel effect of genistein on androgen receptor and PSA expression in prostate cancer cells. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* **41:**A5410. Dawson NA, Figg WD, Cooper MR, Sartor O, Bergan RC, Senderowicz AM, Steinberg
- SM, Tompkins A, Weinberger B, Sausville EA, Reed E and Myers CE (1997) Phase II trial of suramin, leuprolide, and flutamide in previously untreated metastatic prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **15:**1470–1477.
- De Clercq E (1979) Suramin: A potent inhibitor of the reverse transcriptase of RNA tumor viruses. *Cancer Lett* **8:**9–22.
- Denis LJ, Carneiro de Moura JL, Bono A, Sylvester R, Whelan P, Newling D and Depauw M (1993) Gosrelin acetate and flutamide versus bilateral orchiectomy: A phase III EORTC trial (30853). *Urology* **42:**119–130.
- Deweindt C, Albagli O, Bernardin F, Dhordain P, Quief S, Lantoine D, Kerckaert JP and Le Prince D (1995) The LAZ3/*bcl-6* oncogene encodes a sequence-specific transcriptional inhibitor: A novel function for the BTB/POZ domain as an autonomous repressing domain. *Cell Growth Differ* **6:**1495–1503.
- DiPaola RS, Zhang H, Lambert GH, Meeker R, Licitra E, Rafi MM, Zhu BT, Spaulding H, Goodin S, Toledano MB, Hait WN and Gallo MA (1998) Clinical and biologic activity of an estrogenic herbal combination (PC-SPES) in prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* **339:**785–791.
- Dixon SC, Kruger EA, Bauer KS and Figg WD (1999) Thalidomide up-regulates prostate-specific antigen secretion from LNCaP cells. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **43:**S78–S84.
- Dixon SC, Zalles A, Giordano C, Lush RM, Venzon D, Reed E and Figg WD (1997) In vitro effect of gallium nitrate when combined with ketoconazole in the prostate cancer cell line PC-3. *Cancer Lett* **113:**111–116.
- Doherty A, Smith G, Banks L, Christmas T and Epstein RJ (1999) Correlation of the osteoblastic phenotype with prostate-specific antigen expression in metastatic prostate cancer: Implications for paracrine growth. *J Pathol* **188:**278–281.
- Dreyer C, Krey G, Keller H, Givel F, Helftenbein G and Wahli W (1992) Control of the peroxisomal β -oxidation pathway by a novel family of nuclear hormone receptors. *Cell* **68:**879–887.
- Eisenberger M, Kennedy P and Abrams J (1987a) How effective is cytotoxic chemotherapy for disseminated prostatic carcinoma? *Oncology* **2:**59–69.
- Eisenberger MA and Abrams JS (1988) Chemotherapy for prostatic carcinoma. *Semin Urol* **6:**303–310.
- Eisenberger MA, Bezerdijian L and Kalash S (1987b) A critical assessment of the role of chemotherapy for endocrine-resistant prostatic carcinoma. *Urol Clin North Am* **14:**685–706.
- Eisenberger MA, Reyno LM, Jodrell DI, Sinibaldi VJ, Tkaczuk KH, Sridhara R, Zuhowski EG, Lowitt MH, Jacobs SC and Egorin MJ (1993) Suramin, an active drug for prostate cancer: Interim observations in a phase I trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **85:**611–621.
- Eisenberger MA, Simon R, O'Dwyer PJ, Wittes RE and Friedman MA (1985) A reevaluation of nonhormonal cytotoxic chemotherapy in the treatment of prostatic carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* **3:**827–841.
- Eisenberger MA, Sinibaldi VJ, Reyno LM, Sridhara R, Jodrell DI, Zuhowski EG, Tkaczuk KH, Lowitt MH, Hemady RK, Jacobs SC, Van Echo D and Egorin MJ (1995) Phase I clinical evaluation of a pharmacologically guided regimen of suramin in patients with hormone refractory prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **13:** 2174–2186.
- El-Deiry WS, Harper JW, O'Connor PM, Velculescu VE, Canman CE, Jackman J, Pietenpol JA, Burrell M, Hill DE, Wang Y, Wiman KG, Mercer WE, Kastan MB, Kohn KW, Elledge SJ, Kinzler KW and Vogelstein B (1994) WAF1/CIP1 is induced in p53-mediated G1 arrest and apoptosis. *Cancer Res* **54:**1169–1174.
- Ellerhorst J, Nguyen T, Cooper DNW, Estrov Y, Lotan D and Lotan R (1999) Induction of differentiation and apoptosis in the prostate cancer cell line LNCaP by sodium butyrate and galectin-1. *Int J Oncol* **14:**225–232.
- Ellis DW, Leffers S, Davies JS and Ng ABP (1984) Multiple immunoperoxidase markers in benign hyperplasia and adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *Amer J Clin Pathol* **81:**279–284.
- Ellis V and Dano K (1993) Specific inhibition of the activity of the urokinase receptor-mediated cell-surface plasminogen activation system by suramin. *Biochem J* **296:**505–510.
- Ellis WJ, Vessella RL, Buhler KR, Bladou F, True LD, Bigler SA, Curtis D and Lange PH (1996) Characterization of a novel androgen sensitive, prostate specific antigen producing prostate carcinoma xenograft: LuCaP 23. *Clin Cancer Res* **2:**1039–1048. Esquenet M, Swinnen JV, Heyns W and Verhoeven G (1996) Control of LNCaP
- proliferation and differentiation: Actions and interactions of androgens, 1 alpha,

25 dihydroxycholecalciferol, all-*trans* retinoic acid, 9-*cis* retinoic acid, and phenylacetate. *Prostate* **28:**182–194.

- Fagerberg J (1996) Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor as an adjuvant in tumor immunotherapy. *Med Oncol* **13:**155–160.
- Fan S and Wang X (1995) SPES, composition of herbal extracts: US patent number 5,417,979.
- Fantini J, Verrier B, Robert C, Pic P, Pichon J, Mauchamp J and Marvaldi J (1990) Suramin-induced differentiation of the human colon adenocarcinoma cell clone HT29–D4 in serum medium. *Exp Cell Res* **189:**109–117.
- Felder CC, Ma LA, Liotta LA and Kohn EC (1991) The antiproliferative and antimetastatic compound L651582 inhibits muscarinic acetylcholine receptorstimulated calcium influx and arachidonic acid release. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **257:**967–971.
- Feldman D, Mallory PJ and Gross C (1996) Vitamin D: Metabolism and action. *Osteoporosis* **205–235**.
- Ferro MA, Gillatt D, Symes MO and Smith PJB (1989) High dose intravenous estrogen therapy in advanced prostatic carcinoma: Use of serum prostate-specific antigen to monitor response. *Urology* **34:**134–138.
- Figg WD, Ammerman K, Patronas N, Steinberg SM, Walls RG, Dawson N, Reed E and Sartor O (1996) Lack of correlation between prostate-specific antigen and the presence of measurable soft tissue metastases in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cancer Invest* **14:**513–517.
- Figg WD, McCall NA, Reed E and Sartor O (1995) The in vitro response of four antisteroid receptor agents on the hormone-responsive prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. *Oncol Rep* **2:**295–298.
- Fontecase M, Lepoivre M, Elleningand E, Gerez C and Guittet O (1998) Resveratrol, a remarkable inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase. *FEBS Lett* **421:**277–279.
- Forman BM, Tontonoz P, Chen J, Brun RP, Spiegelman BM and Evans RM (1995) 15 -deoxy- Δ 12,14-prostaglandin J2 is a ligand for the adipocyte differentiation factor PPARg. *Cell* **83:**803–812.
- Fossa SD and Pause E (1994) Reduction of serum prostate specific antigen during endocrine or cytotoxic treatment of hormone-resistant cancer of the prostate. A preliminary report. *Eur Urol* **26:**29–34.
- Friden B, Wallin M, Deinum J, Prasad V and Luduena R (1987) Effect of estramustine phosphate on the assembly of trypsin-treated microtubules and microtubules reconstituted from pure tubulin with either Tau, MAP2, or the tubulin-binding fragment of MAP2. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **257:**123–130.
- Gagliardi A, Hadd H and Collins DC (1992) Inhibition of angiogenesis by suramin. *Cancer Res* **52:**5073–5075.

Gittes RF (1991) Carcinoma of the prostate. *N Engl J Med* **324:**236–245.

- Gleave ME, Hsieh J-T, Wu H-C, von Eschenbach AC and Chung LWK (1992) Serum prostate specific antigen levels in mice bearing human prostate LNCaP tumors are determined by tumor volume and endocrine and growth factors. *Cancer Res* **52:** 1598–1605.
- Gleave ME, Sato N, Sadar M, Yago V, Bruchovsky N and Sullivan L (1998) Butyrate analogue, isobutyramide, inhibits tumor growth and time to androgenindependent progression in the human prostate LNCaP tumor model. *J Cell Biochem* **69:**271–281.

by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

 $\overrightarrow{5}$ ŞÒ

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org by guest on June

- Goldfarb DA, Stein BS, Shamszadeh M and Petersen RO (1986) Age-related changes in tissue levels of prostatic acid phosphatase and prostate-specific antigen. *J Urol* **138:**1266–1269.
- Gormley GJ, Stoner E, Bruskewitz RC, Imperato-McGinley J, Walsh PC, McConnell JD, Andriole GL, Bracken BR, Tenover JS, Vaughan ED, Taylor A, Binkowitz B and Ng J (1992) The effect of finasteride in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. The Finasteride Group. *N Engl J Med* **327:**1185–1191.
- Gorospe M, Shack S, Guyton KZ, Samid D and Holbrook NJ (1996) Up-regulation and functional role of p21Waf1/Cip1 during growth arrest of human breast carcinoma MCF-7 cells by phenylacetate. *Cell Growth Differ* **7:**1609–1615.
- Guo Y, Pili R and Passaniti A (1994) Regulation of prostate-specific antigen gene expression in LNCaP human prostatic carcinoma cells by growth, dihydrotestosterone, and extracellular matrix. *Prostate* **24:**1–10.
- Haas NB, Hartley-Asp B, Kopreski M, Tew K, Edman K, Ellman M, Eriksson B, Gunnarsson PO, Nordle O, Yeslow G, Halberr T, Makkar V and Hudes G (1998) Phase I pharmacokinetic trial of intravenous (iv) estramustine phosphate (EMP) in patients with hormone refractory prostate cancer (HRPC). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* **17:**324a.
- Halicka HD, Ardelt B, Juan G, Mittleman A, Chen S, Traganos F and Darzynkiewicz Z (1997) Apoptosis and cell cycle effects induced by extracts of the Chinese herbal preparation PC-SPES. *Int J Oncol* **11:**437–448.
- Hansenson M, Lundh B, Hartley-Asp B and Pousette A (1988) Growth inhibiting effect of estramustine on two prostatic carcinoma cell lines LNCaP and LnCaP-r. *Urol Res* **16:**357–361.
- Hart M, Smith CF, Yancey ST and Adamson RH (1971) Toxicity and antitumor activity of gallium nitrate and periodically related metal salts. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **47:**1121–1127.
- Hartley-Asp B (1984) Estramustine-induced mitotic arrest in two human prostatic carcinoma cell lines DU 145 and PC-3. *Prostate* **5:**93–100.
- Hartley-Asp B and Gunnarsson PO (1982) Growth and cell survival following treatment with estramustine, nor-nitrogen mustard, estradiol and testosterone of a human prostatic cancer cell line (DU 145). *J Urol* **127:**818–822.
- Hedlund TE, Moffatt KA, Uskokovic MR and Miller GJ (1997) Three synthetic vitamin D analogues induce prostate-specific acid phosphatase and prostatespecific antigen while inhibiting the growth of human prostate cancer cells in a vitamin D receptor-dependent fashion. *Clin Cancer Res* **3:**1331–1338.
- Hensey CE, Boscoboinik D and Azzi A (1989) Suramin, an anti-cancer drug, inhibits protein kinase C and induces differentiation in neuroblastoma cell clone NB2A. *FEBS Lett* **258:**156–158.
- Henttu P, Liao S and Vihko P (1992) Androgens up-regulate the human prostatespecific antigen messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA), but down-regulate the prostatic acid phosphatase mRNA in the LNCaP cell line. *Endocrinology* **130:**766–772.

spet

Holick MF (1989) in *Vitamin D: Biosynthesis, Metabolism and Mode of Action* (DeGroot LJ ed) pp 902–926, Grune and Stratton, New York.

- Horoszewicz JS, Leong SS, Kawinski E, Karr JP, Rosenthal H, Chu TM, Mirand EA and Murphy GP (1983) LNCaP model of human prostatic carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **43:**1809–1818.
- Horti J, Dixon SC, Logothetis CJ, Guo Y, Reed E and Figg WD (1999) Increased transcriptional activity of prostate-specific antigen in the presence of TNP-470, an angiogenesis inhibitor. *Br J Cancer* **79:**1588–1593.
- Hosang M (1985) Suramin binds to platelet-derived growth factor and inhibits its biological activity. *J Cell Biochem* **29:**265–273.
- Hsieh T, Chen SS, Wang X and Wu JM (1997) Regulation of androgen receptor (AR) and prostate specific antigen (PSA) expression in the androgen-responsive human prostate LNCaP cells by ethanolic extracts of the Chinese herbal preparation, PC-SPES. *Biochem Mol Biol Int* **42:**535–544.
- Hsieh TC, Ng C, Chang CC, Chen SS, Mittleman A and Wu JM (1998) Induction of apoptosis and down-regulation of *bcl-6* in Mutu I cells treated with ethanolic extracts of the Chinese herbal supplement PC-SPES. *Int J Oncol* **13:**1199–1202. Hsieh TC and Wu JM (1997) Effects of fenretinide (4-HPR) on prostate LNCaP cell
- growth, apoptosis, and prostate-specific gene expression. *Prostate* **33:**97–104. Hsieh TC and Wu JM (1999) Differential effects on growth, cell cycle arrest, and
- induction of apoptosis by resveratrol in human prostate cancer cell lines. *Exp Cell Res* **249:**109–115. Hsieh TY, Ng CY, Mallouh C, Tazaki H and Wu JM (1996) Regulation of growth,
- PSA/PAP and androgen receptor expression by 1 alpha, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 in the androgen-dependent LNCaP cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **223:**141– 146.
- Hu E, Kim JB, Sarraf P and Spiegelman BM (1996) Inhibition of adipogenesis through MAP kinase-mediated phosphorylation of PPARg. *Science (Wash DC)* **274:**2100–2103.
- Hudes GR, Green berg R, Krigel RI, Fox S, Scher R, Litwin S, Watts P, Speicher L, Tew K and Comis R (1992) Phase II study of estramustine and vinblastine, two microtubule inhibitors in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **10:** 1754–1761.
- Huggins C and Hodges CV (1941) Studies on prostate cancer. I. The effect of castration of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. *Cancer Res* **1:**293–297.
- Hupe DJ, Boltz R, Cohen CJ, Felix J, Ham F, Miller D, Soderman D and Van Skiver D (1991) the inhibition of receptor-mediated and voltage dependent calcium entry by the antiproliferative L-651,582. *J Biol Chem* **266:**10136–10142.
- Huupponen R and Pyykko K (1995) Stability of thalidomide in plasma. *Clin Chem* **41:**1199.
- Igawa M, Tanabe T, Chodak GW and Rukstalis DB (1997) N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide induces cell cycle specific growth inhibition in PC3 cells. *Prostate* **24:**299– 305.
- Ingber D, Fujita T, Kishimoto S, Sudo K, Kanamary T, Brem H and Folkman J (1990) Synthetic analogues of fumagillin that inhibit angiogenesis and suppress tumour growth. *Nature (Lond)* **348:**555–557.
- Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, Slowing KV, Thomas CF, Beecher CWW, Fong HHS, Farnsworth NR, Kinghorn AD, Mehta RG, Moon RC and Pezzuto JM (1997) Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science (Wash DC)* **275:**218–220.
- Janknegt RA, Abbou CC and Bartoletti R (1993) Orchiectomy and nilutamide or placebo as treatment of metastatic prostatic cancer in a multinational double-blind randomized trial. *J Urol* **149:**77–83.
- Kaighn ME, Shankar N, Ohkuni Y, Lechner F and Jones LW (1979) Establishment and characterization of a human prostatic carcinoma cell line (PC-3). *Invest Urol* **17:**16–23.
- Kamei Y, Kawada T, Mizukami J and Sugimoto E (1994) The prevention of adipose differentiation of 3T3–L1 cells caused by retinoic acid is elicited through retinoic acid receptor alpha. *Life Sci* **55:**307–312.
- Kato T, Sato K, Kakinuma H and Matsuda Y (1994) Enhanced suppression of tumor growth by combination of angiogenesis inhibitor O-(chloroacetyl-carbamoyl) fumagillol (TNP-470) and cytotoxic agents in mice. *Cancer Res* **54:**5143–5147.
- Kaur G, Stetler-Stevenson M, Sebers S, Worland P, Sedlacek H, Myers C, Czech J, Naik R and Sausville E (1992) Growth inhibition with reversible cell cycle arrest of carcinoma cells by flavone L86–8275. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **84:**1736–1740.
- Keller J, Bartolucci A, Carpenter JT Jr and Feagler J (1986) Phase II evaluation of bolus gallium nitrate in lymphoproliferative disorders: A Southeastern Cancer Study Group trial. *Cancer Treat Rep* **70:**47–51.
- Kelly WK, Scher HI, Mazumdar M, Vlamis V, Schwartz M and Fossa SD (1993) Prostate-specific antigen as a measure of disease outcome in metastatic hormonerefractory prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **11:**607–615.
- Kim JH, Sherwood ER, Sutkowski DM, Lee C and Kozlowski JM (1991) Inhibition of prostatic tumor cell proliferation by suramin: Alterations in TGF-a-mediated autocrine growth regulation and cell cycle distribution. *J Urol* **146:**171–176.
- Kliewer SA, Forman BM, Blumberg B, Ong ES, Borgmeyer U, Mangelsdorf DJ, Umesono K and Evans RM (1994) Differential expression and activation of a family of murine peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **91:**7355–7359.
- Kliewer SA, Umesono K, Noonan DJ, Heyman RA and Evans RM (1992) Convergence of 9-*cis* retinoic acid and peroxisome proliferator signalling pathways through heterodimer formation of their receptors. *Nature (Lond)* **358:**771–774.
- Klocker H, Culig Z, Kaspar F, Hobisch A, Eberle J, Reissgl A and Bartsch G (1994) Androgen signal transduction and prostatic carcinoma. *World J Urol* **12:**99–103. Kluken N and Wente W (1974) Indications for thalidomide therapy for leprosy. *Int J*
- *Dermatol* **13:**20–25. Kohn EC, Alessandro R, Spoonster J, Wersto RW and Liotta LA (1995) Angiogenesis:
- Role of calcium-mediated signal transduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **92:**1307– 1311. Kohn EC, Sandeen MA and Liotta LA (1992) *In vivo* efficacy of a novel inhibitor of

selected signal transduction pathways including calcium, arachidonate, and inositol phosphates. *Cancer Res* **52:**3208–3212.

- Kreis W, Budman DR and Calabro A (1997) Unique synergism or antagonism of combinations of chemotherapeutic and hormonal agents in human prostate cancer cell lines. *Br J Urol* **79:**196–202.
- Kubota T, Koshizuka K, Williamson EA, Asou H, Said JW, Holden S, Miyoshi I and Koeffler HP (1998) Ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (Troglitazone) has potent antitumor effects against human prostate cancer both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cancer Res* **58:**3344–3352.
- Kumar N, Crozat A, Li F, Catterall JF, Bardin CW and Sundaram K (1999) 7alphamethyl-19-nortestosterone, a synthetic androgen with high potency: Structureactivity comparisons with other androgens. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **71:**213– 222.
- Kusaka M, Sudo K, Fujita T, Marui S, Itoh F, Ingber D and Folkman J (1991) Potent anti-angiogenic action of AGM-1470: Comparison to the fumagillin parent. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **174:**1070–1076.
- La Rocca RV, Danesi R, Cooper MR, Jamis-Dow CA, Ewing ZM, Linehan WM and Myers CE (1991) Effect of suramin on human prostate cancer cells in vitro. *J Urol* **145:**499–508.
- Landis SH, Murray T, Bolden S and Wingo PA (1999) Cancer Statistics, 1999. *CA Cancer J Clin* **49:**8–32.
- Larson SM, Rasey JS, Allen DR, Nelson NJ, Grunbaum Z, Harp GD and Williams DL (1980) Common pathway for tumor cell uptake of gallium-97 and iron-59 via a transferrin receptor. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **64:**41–53.
- Lasnitzki I and Goodman DS (1974) Inhibition of the effects of methylcholanthrene on mouse prostate in organ culture by vitamin A and its analogues. *Cancer Res* **34:**1564–1571.
- Lee C, Sutkowski DM, Sensibar JA, Zelner D, Kim I, Amsel I, Shaw N, Prins GS and Kozlowski JM (1994) Regulation of proliferation and production of prostatespecific antigen in androgen-sensitive prostatic cancer cells, LNCaP, by dihydrotestosterone. *Endocrinology* **136:**796–803.
- Lehmann JM, Moore LB, Smith-Oliver TA, Wilkison WO, Willson TM and Kliewer SA (1995) An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione is a high affinity ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor ^g (PPARg). *J Biol Chem* **270:**12953–12956.
- Lenz W (1962) Thalidomide and congenital abnormalities. *Lancet* **1:**45.
- Leo ME, Bilhartz DL, Bergstralh EJ and Oesterling JE (1991) Prostate specific antigen in hormonally-treated stage D2 prostate cancer: Is it always an accurate indicator of disease status? *J Urol* **145:**802–806.
- Levin AA, Sturzenbecker LJ, Kazner S, Bosakowski T, Huselton C, Allenby G, Speck J, Kratzeisen CI, Rosenberger M, Lovey A and Grippe JF (1992) 9-cis retinoic acid stereoisomer binds and activates the nuclear receptor RxRa. *Nature (Lond)* **355:** 359–361.
- Lilja H (1985) A kallikrein-like serine protease in prostatic fluid cleaves the predominant seminal vesicle protein. *J Clin Invest* **76:**1899–1903.
- Lim JTE, Piazza GA, Sperl J, Pamukcu R, Thompson WJ and Weinstein IB (2000) Exisulind induced apoptosis in prostate cancer cells is associated with downregulation of androgen responsive elements. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* **41:**A306.
- Lippman SM, Kessler JF and Meyskens FL Jr (1987) Retinoids as preventive and therapeutic anticancer agents. *Cancer Treat Rep* **71:**391–405.
- Liu L, Shack S, Stetler-Stevenson WG, Hudgins WR and Samid D (1994) Differentiation of cultured human melanoma cells induced by the aromatic fatty acids phenylacetate and phenylbutyrate. *J Invest Dermatol* **103:**335–340.
- Logothetis CJ, Wu K, Jaeckle KA, Amato R, Finn L, Weiss R, Daliani D, Figg WD, Ghaddar H and Gutterman J (2001) Phase I trial of the angiogenesis inhibitor TNP-470 for progressive androgen-independent prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res,* in press.
- Luke MC and Coffey DS (1994) Human androgen receptor binding to the androgen response element of prostate specific antigen. *J Androl* **15:**41–51.
- Mangelsdorf DJ, Ong ES, Dyck JA and Evans RM (1990) Nuclear reporter that identifies a novel retinoic acid response pathway. *Nature (Lond)* **345:**224–229. McBride WG (1961) Thalidomide and congenital abnormalities. *Lancet* **2:**1358.
- Melchior SW, Brown LG, Figg WD, Quinn JE, Santucci RA, Brunner J, Thuroff JW, Lange PH and Vessella RL (1999) Effects of phenylbutyrate on proliferation and apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Int J Oncol* **14:**501–
- 508. Mgbonyebi OP, Russo J and Russo IH (1998) Antiproliferative effect of synthetic resveratrol on human breast epithelial cells. *Int J Oncol* **12:**865–869.
- Miller GJ, Stapleton GE, Moffatt KA and Hedlund TE (1995) Vitamin D receptor expression, 24-hydroxylase activity, and inhibition of growth by 1α , 25dihydroxyvitamin D3 in seven human prostatic carcinoma cell lines. *Clin Cancer Res* **1:**997–1003.
- Mitchell SH, Zhu W and Young CYF (1999) Resveratrol inhibits the expression and function of the androgen receptor in LNCaP prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* **59:**5892–5895.
- Moon RC, McCormick DL and Mehta RG (1983) Inhibition of carcinogenesis by retinoids. *Cancer Res* **43:**2469–2475.
- Moscatelli D and Quarto N (1989) Transformation of NIH/3T3 cells with basic fibroblast growth factor or the hst/K-fgf oncogene causes down regulation of the fibroblast growth factor receptor: Reversal by suramin. *J Cell Biol* **109:**2519–2527.
- Mueller E, Sarraf P, Tontonoz P, Evans RM, Martin KJ, Zhang M, Fletcher C, Singer S and Spiegelman BM (1998) Terminal differentiation of human breast cancer through PPARg. *Mol Cell* **1:**465–470.
- Navone NM, Logothetis CJ, von Eschenbach AC and Troncoco P (1999) Model systems of prostate cancer: Uses and limitations. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* **17:**361– 371.
- Norton VG, Imai BS, Yau P and Bradbury EM (1989) Histone acetylation reduces nucleosome core particle linking number change. *Cell* **57:**449–457.
- Panvichian R and Pienta KJ (1996) The treatment of hormone refractory prostate cancer. *Compr Ther* **22:**81–87.
- Peehl DM, Skowronski RJ, Leung GK, Wong ST, Stamey TA and Feldman D (1994)

by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

g anest ë aunp $\overrightarrow{5}$ ŞÒ

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org

Antiproliferative effects of 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on primary cultures of human prostatic cells. *Cancer Res* **54:**805–810.

- Petrovich M, Brand NJ, Krust A and Chambon P (1987) A human retinoic acid receptor which belongs to the family of nuclear receptors. *Nature (Lond)* **330:**444– 450.
- Pfeifer BL, Pirani JF, Hamann SR and Klippel KF (2000) PC-SPES, a dietary supplement for the treatment of hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *BJU Int* **85:**481–485.
- Pienta KJ, Esper PS, Zwas F, Krzeminski R and Flaherty LE (1997) Phase II chemoprevention trial of oral fenretinide in patients at risk for adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *Am J Clin Oncol* **20:**36–39.
- Pienta KJ, Isaacs VV, Vindivich D and Coffey DS (1991) The effects of basic fibroblast growth factor and suramin on cell motility and growth of rat prostate cancer cells. *J Urol* **145:**199–202.
- Pienta KJ, Nguyen NM and Lehr JE (1993) Treatment of prostate cancer in the rat with the synthetic retinoid fenretinide. *Cancer Res* **53:**224–226.
- Pienta KJ, Redman B, Hussain M, Cummings G, Esper PS, Appel C and Flaherty LE (1994) Phase II evaluation of oral estramustine and oral etoposide in hormone refractory adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *J Clin Oncol* **12:**2005–2012.
- Pollard M, Luckert PH and Sporn MB (1991) Prevention of primary prostate cancer in Lobund-Wistar rats by 4-hydroxyphenylretinamide. *Cancer Res* **51:**3610–3621. Presti JC Jr, Fair WR, Andriole G, Sogani PC, Seidman EJ, Ferguson D, Ng J and
- Gormley GJ (1992) Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study to investigate the effect of finasteride (MK-906) on stage D prostate cancer. *J Urol* **148:**1201–1204.
- Romjin JC, Verkoelen CF and Schroeder FH (1988) Application of the MTT assay to human prostate cancer cell lines in vitro: Establishment of test conditions and assessment of hormone-stimulated growth and drug-induced cytostatic and cytotoxic effects. *Prostate* **12:**99–110.
- Samid D, Shack S and Myers CE (1993) Selective growth arrest and phenotypic reversion of prostate cancer cells in vitro by nontoxic pharmacological concentrations of phenylacetate. *J Clin Invest* **91:**2288–2295.
- Samid D, Shack S and Sherman LT (1992) Phenylacetate: A novel nontoxic inducer of tumor cell differentiation. *Cancer Res* **52:**1988–1992.
- Sartor O (1995) Prostate-specific antigen changes before and after administration of an angiogenesis inhibitor (TNP-470). *Oncol Rep* **2:**1101–1102.
- Scher HI, Curley T, Geller N, Dershaw D, Chan E, Nisselbaum J, Alcock N, Hollander P and Yagoda A (1987) Gallium nitrate in prostatic cancer: Evaluation of antitumor activity and effects on bone turnover. *Cancer Treat Rep* **71:**887–893.
- Schwartz GG, Hill CC, Oeler TA, Becich MJ and Bahnson RR (1995) 1,25-dihydroxy-16-ene-23-one-vitamin D3 and prostate cancer cell proliferation *in vivo*. *Urology* **46:**365–369.
- Schwartz GG, Oeler TA, Uskokovic MF and Bahnson RR (1994) Human prostate cancer cells: Inhibition of proliferation by vitamin D analogs. *Anticancer Res* **14:**1077–1081.
- Seidman AD and Scher HI (1991) The evolving role of chemotherapy for muscle infiltrating bladder cancer. *Semin Oncol* **18:**585–595.
- Senderowicz AM, Reid R, Headlee D, Abornathy T, Horti J, Lush RM, Reed E, Figg WD and Sausville EA (1999) A phase II trial of gallium nitrate in patients with androgen-independent metastatic prostate cancer. *Urol Int* **63:**120–125.
- Sharpstone D, Rowbottom A, Nelson M and Gazzard B (1995) The treatment of microsporideal diarrhea with thalidomide. *AIDS* **9:**658–659.
- Shen JC, Wang TTY, Chang S and Hursting SD (1999) Mechanistic studies of the effects of the retinoid N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide on prostate cancer cell growth and apoptosis. *Mol Carcinogenesis* **24:**160–168.
- Sica G, Iacopino F, Settesoldi D and Zelano G (1999) Effect of leuprorelin acetate on cell growth and prostate-specific antigen gene expression in human prostatic cancer cells. *Eur Urol* **35(Suppl 1):**2–8.
- Simmons BR, Lush RM and Figg WD (1997) A reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography method using solid-phase extraction to quantitate thalidomide in human serum. *Anal Chim Acta* **339:**91–97.
- Sivamaran VS, Wang H, Nuovo GJ and Malbon CC (1997) Hyperexpression of mitogen-activated protein kinase in human breast cancer. *J Clin Invest* **99:**1478– 1483.
- Skowronski RJ, Peehl DM and Feldman D (1993) Vitamin D in prostate cancer: 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptors and actions in human prostate cancer cell lines. *Endocrinology* **132:**1952–1960.
- Skowronski RJ, Peehl DM and Feldman D (1995) Actions of vitamin D3 analogs on human prostate cancer cell lines: Comparison with 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. *Endocrinology* **136:**20–26.
- Slawin K, Kadmon D, Park SH, Scardino PT, Anzano M, Sporn MB and Thompson TC (1993) Dietary fenretinide, a synthetic retinoid, decreases the tumor incidence and the tumor mass of ras+myc-induced carcinomas in the mouse prostate reconstitution model system. *Cancer Res* **53:**4461–4465.
- Small EJ, Reese DM, Um B, Whisenant S, Dixon SC and Figg WD (1999) Therapy of advanced prostate cancer with granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Clin Cancer Res* **5:**1738–1744.
- Sporn MB, Dunlap NM and Newton DL (1976) Prevention of chemical carcinogenesis by vitamin A and its synthetic analogs (retinoids). *Fed Proc* **35:**1332–1338.
- Sridhara R, Eisenberger MA, Sinibaldi VJ, Reyno LM and Egorin MJ (1995) Evaluation of prostate-specific antigen as a surrogate marker for response of hormonerefractory prostate cancer to suramin therapy. *J Clin Oncol* **13:**2944–2953.
- Stearns ME and Tew KD (1988) Estramustine binds MAP-2 to inhibit microtubule assembly *in vitro*. *J Cell Sci* **89:**331–342.
- Stein BS, Vangore S, Peterson RO and Kendall AR (1982) Immunoperoxidase localization of prostate specific antigen. *Am J Surg Pathol* **6:**553.
- Stein CA, La Rocca RV, Thomas R, McAtee N and Myers CE (1989) Suramin: An anti-cancer drug with a unique mechanism of action. *J Clin Oncol* **7:**499–508. Stein CA, Saville W, Yarchoan R, Broder S and Gelmann EP (1986) Suramin and
- function of the adrenal cortex. *Ann Intern Med* **104:**286–287.
- Stockhammer G, Manley GT, Johnson R, Rosenblum MK, Samid D and Lieberman FS (1995) Inhibition of proliferation and induction of differentiation in medulloblastoma- and astrocytoma-derived cell lines with phenylacetate. *J Neurosurg* **83:**672–681.
- Stone KR, Mickey DD, Wunderli H, Mickey GH and Paulson DF (1978) Isolation of a human prostate carcinoma cell line (DU 145). *Intl J Cancer* **21:**274–281.
- Strassman G, Fong M, Freter CE, Windsor S, D'Alessandro F and Nordan RP (1993) Suramin interferes with interferon-6 receptor binding in vitro and inhibits colon-26-mediated experimental cancer cachexia in vivo. *J Clin Invest* **92:**2152–2159.
- Subbaramaiah K, Chung WJ, Michaluart P, Telang N, Tanabe T, Inoue H, Jang M, Pezzuto JM and Dannenberg AJ (1998) Resveratrol inhibits cyclooxygenase-2 transcription and activity in phorbol ester-treated human mammary epithelial cells. *J Biol Chem* **273:**21875–21882.
- Sudduth SL and Koronkowski MJ (1993) Finasteride: The first 5α -reductase inhibitor. *Pharmacotherapy* **13:**309–329.
- Sun NJ, Woo SH, Cassady JM and Snapka RM (1998) DNA polymerase and topoisomerase II inhibitors from *Psoralea corylifolia*. *J Nat Products* **61:**362–366.
- Sutkowski DM, Goode RL, Baniel J, Teater C, Cohen P, McNulty AM, Hsiung HM, Becker GW and Neubauer DL (1999) Growth regulation of prostatic stromal cells by prostate-specific antigen. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **91:**1663–1669.
- Svanholm H (1986) Evaluation of commercial immunoperoxidase kits for prostatic specific antigen and prostatic acid phosphatase. *Acta Path Microbiol Immunol Scand* **94:**7.
- Tew KD, Erickson LC, White G, Wang AL, Schein PS and Hartley-Asp B (1983) Cytotoxicity of estramustine, a steroid-nitrogen mustard derivative, through non-DNA targets. *Biochem Pharmacol* **24:**324–328.
- Tew KD and Stearns ME (1989) Estramustine-a nitrogen mustard/steroid with microtubule activity. *Pharmacol Ther* **43:**299–319.
- Thalmann GN, Sikes RA, Chang S-M, Johnston DA, von Eschenbach AC and Chung Lwk (1996) Suramin-induced decrease in prostate-specific antigen expression with no effect on tumor growth in the LNCaP model of human prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **88:**794–801.
- The Canadian Anandron Study Group (1990) Total androgen ablation in the treatment of metastatic prostatic cancer. *Semin Urol* **8:**159–165.
- The Veterans Administration Co-operative Urological Research Group (1967) Treatment and survival of patients with cancer of the prostate. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* **124:**1011–1017.
- Thibault A, Sartor O, Cooper MR, Figg WD and Myers CE (1993) A 75% decline in prostate specific antigen (PSA) predicts survival in hormone refractory prostate cancer. *Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res* **34:**A1143.
- Thibault A, Cooper MR, Figg WD, Venzon DJ, Sartor AO, Tompkins AC, Weinberger MS, Headlee DJ, McCall NA, Samid D and Myers CE (1994) A phase I and pharmacokinetic study of intravenous phenylacetate in patients with cancer. *Cancer Res* **54:**1690–1694.
- Thibault A, Samid D, Cooper MR, Figg WD, Tompkins AC, Patronas N, Headlee DJ, Kohler DR, Venzon DJ and Myers CE (1995) Phase I study of phenylacetate administered twice daily to patients with cancer. *Cancer* **75:**2932–2938.
- Thomas R and Lipsky PE (1996) Dendritic cells: Origin and differentiation. *Stem Cells* **14:**196–206.
- Thompson IM, Coltman CAJ and Crowley J (1997) Chemoprevention of prostate cancer: The Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. *Prostate* **33:**217–221.
- Todd PA and Fitton A (1991) Gallium nitrate. A review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic potential in cancer related to hypercalcemia. *Drugs* **42:**261–273.
- Tontonoz P, Hu E and Spiegelman BM (1994) Stimulation of adipogenesis in fibroblasts by PPARg2, a lipid-activated transcription factor. *Cell* **79:**1147–1156.
- Tontonoz P, Singer S, Forman BM, Sarraf P, Fletcher JA, Fletcher CDM, Brun RP, Mueller E, Altiok S, Oppenheim H, Evans RM and Spiegelman BM (1997) Terminal differentiation of human liposarcoma cells induced by ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ and the retinoid X receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94:**237–241.
- Veldscholte J, Ris-Stalpers C, Kuiper GGJM, Jemster G, Berrevoets C, Claassen E, van Rooij HCJ, Trapman J, Brinkmann AO and Mulder E (1990a) A mutation in the ligand binding domain of the androgen receptor of human LNCaP cells affects steroid binding characteristics and response to anti-androgens. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **173:**534–540.
- Veldscholte J, Voorhorst-Ogink MM, Bolt-de Vries J, van Rooij HCJ, Trapman J and Mulder E (1990b) Unusual specificity of the androgen receptor in the human prostate tumor cell line LNCaP: High affinity for prostagenic and estrogenic steroids. *Biochem Biophys Acta* **1052:**187–194.
- Viewig J, Rosenthal FM, Bannerji R, Hestin WD, Fair WR, Gansbacher B and Gilboa E (1994) Immunotherapy of prostate cancer in the Dunning rat model: Use of cytokine gene modified tumor vaccines. *Cancer Res* **54:**1760–1765.
- Vincente T, Ortega A, Munoz P, Diaz MD and Bouza E (1993) In vitro activity of thalidomide against Mycobacterium avium complex. *Arch Intern Med* **153:**534.
- Walls R, Thibault A, Liu L, Wood C, Kozlowski JM, Figg WD, Sampson ML, Elin RJ and Samid D (1996) The differentiating agent phenylacetate increase prostatespecific antigen production by prostate cancer cells. *Prostate* **29:**177–182.
- Wang LG, Liu XM, Kreis W and Budman DR (1997) Down-regulation of prostatespecific antigen expression by finasteride through inhibition of complex formation between androgen receptor and steroid receptor-binding consensus in the promoter of the *PSA* gene in LNCaP cells. *Cancer Res* **57:**714–719.
- Wang LG, Liu XM, Kreis W and Budman DR (1998) Androgen antagonistic effect of estramustine phosphate (EMP) metabolites on wild-type and mutated androgen receptor. *Biochem Pharmacol* **55:**1427–1433.
- Warrell RP Jr, Danieu L, Coonley CJ and Atkins C (1987) Salvage chemotherapy of advanced lymphoma with investigational drugs: Mitoguazone, gallium nitrate, and etoposide. *Cancer Treat Rep* **71:**47–51.
- Wasilenko WJ, Palad AJ, Somers KD, Blackmore PF, Kohn EC, Rhim JS, Wright GL

HARM

spet

by guest on June 15, 2012 [pharmrev.aspetjournals.o](http://pharmrev.aspetjournals.org/)rg Downloaded from

Downloaded from pharmrev.aspetjournals.org by guest on June 15, 2012

Jr and Schellhammer PF (1996) Effects of the calcium influx inhibitor carboxyamido-triazole on the proliferation and invasiveness of human prostate tumor cell lines. *Int J Cancer* **68:**259–264.

- Worland PJ, Kaur G, Stetler-Stevenson M, Sebers S, Sartor O and Sausville EA (1993) Alteration of the phosphorylation state of p34(cdc2) kinase by the flavone L86–8275 in breast carcinoma cells. Correlation with decreased H1 kinase activity. *Biochem Pharmacol* **46:**1831–1840.
- Xue JC, Schwartz EJ, Chawla A and Lazar MA (1996) *Mol Cell Biol* **16:**1567–1575. Yamamoto H, Fujimoto J, Okamoto E, Furuyama J, Tamaoki T and Hashimoto-Tamaoki T (1998) Suppression of growth of hepatocellular carcinoma by sodium butyrate *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Int J Cancer* **76:**897–902.
- Yamazaki H, Dilworth A, Myers CE and Sinha BK (1984) Suramin inhibits DNA damage in human prostate cancer cells treated with topoisomerase inhibitors in vitro. *Prostate* **23:**25–36.
- Young CYF, Montgomery BT, Andrews PE, Qiu S, Bilhartz DL and Tindall DJ (1991) Hormonal regulation of prostate-specific antigen messenger RNA in human pros-tatic adenocarcinoma cell line LNCaP. *Cancer Res* **51:**3748–3752.
- Young CYF, Murtha PE, Andrews PE, Lindzey JK and Tindall DJ (1994) Antagonism of androgen action in prostate tumor cells by retinoic acid. *Prostate* **25:**39–45.
- Zhao X-Y, Ly LH, Peehl DM and Feldman D (1997) $1\alpha,25$ -dihydroxyvitamin D3 actions in LNCaP human prostate cancer cells are androgen-dependent. *Endocrinology* **138:**3290–3298.

